Vol. 12(3), pp. 27-35, July-September 2020 DOI: 10.5897/JTEHS2020.0458 Article Number: 7A3BA6164505 ISSN: 2006-9820 Copyright ©2020 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JTEHS



Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences

Full Length Research Paper

Prevalence and distribution of aflatoxin (AfB1) in groundnut and groundnut-based products in Northwestern Nigeria

Michael Boboh Vabi¹*, Christopher Oche Eche², Maikasuwa Isaac Ogara³, Hakeem Ayinde Ajeigbe¹ and Abba Aliyu Kasim⁴

¹International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Kano Station, Nigeria. ²Faculty of Crop and Environmental Protection, College of Agronomy, Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

³Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Agronomy, Nasarawa State University, Lafia Campus, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. ⁴Department of Geography and Regional Planning, Faculty of Social Sciences, Federal University, Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria

Received 16 April, 2020; Accepted 3 July, 2020

The kernel of groundnut and groundnut-based products are easily contaminated by aflatoxin: a mycotoxin produced by the fungus *Aspergillus flavus and A. parasiticus*. A total of 526 samples of groundnut and groundnut-based products were collected from six states in Nigeria namely Kano, Jigawa, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto and Benue States and analyzed for Aflatoxin B1 (AfB1) contamination using the Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) technique. Results of the analysis revealed that both groundnut kernel and processed products had varying levels of AfB1 contamination. While AfB1 contamination levels varied between 7.82 and 12.33 µg/kg in kernels of local groundnut varieties, they ranged between 3.79 and 6.79 µg/kg in those of improved groundnut varieties. Mean AfB1 levels in groundnut-based products ranged from 12.30 to 99.37 µg/kg, with the highest recorded in *kuli-kuli* - a by-product of groundnut oil processing. Variability between mean AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernels of improved and local varieties were significant while no statistical difference was found between mean AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernels between mean AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernels between mean AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernels of the study suggest that an integrated approach including the use of improved groundnut varieties, appropriate crop management practices and awareness creation on food safety, and notably on aflatoxin, could mitigate contamination in the groundnut value chain.

Key words: Aflatoxin B1, prevalence, groundnut, distribution, Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

Groundnut, (also called Peanut) is the edible kernel of the legume *Arachis hypogea*. It plays an important role in the

diets of many households in Africa and Asia due to its high nutritive value, high oil, fiber and protein contents.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: m.vabi@cgiar.org.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> Apart from oil, they are consumed, used or sold in many forms - roasted, boiled, processed and sprinkled onto foods or included in different local dishes in Nigeria (Vabi et al., 2018). Groundnut kernels are often pressed to obtain groundnut oil which is widely used in many rural and urban households of countries in West and Central Africa (WCA). Also, groundnut and groundnut products are important snacks for travelers especially those on religious and tourist expeditions. The crop was useful in the elimination of malnutrition in some African countries (Guimon and Guimon, 2012).

Despite the wide-ranging uses and popular cultivation of groundnut alongside cereal crops by resource-limited farmers in the Semi-Arid region of Africa and Asia, the crop is prone to pre-and post-harvest contamination by aflatoxin producing fungi notably Aspergillus flavus (Williams et al., 2004; Bediako et al., 2019). When subjected to end of season drought stress, groundnut becomes more susceptible to aflatoxin contamination (Pitt et al., 2013; Kanyi, 2018). The habitually cited health risks of aflatoxins include immuno-suppression, impaired growth, liver cancer and death depending on the quantity and frequency of ingestion (Williams et al., 2004; Wagacha and Muthomi, 2008). Due to the potential health risks of aflatoxins, many countries have established maximum limits (MLs) or levels for key agricultural commodities including groundnut. These regulations single out aflatoxin as the most regulated mycotoxins underlining public and private sector concerns for food safety in both developing and developed economies. For example, the MLs set by the EU vary between 2-12 µg/kg for AfB1 and 4-15 µg/kg for total aflatoxins (European Union Commission Regulation, 2006 as amended; FAO, 2015). The MLs of the US Food and Drug Administration is 20 ppb (= 20µg/kg) for total aflatoxins in all foods except milk (Food and Drug Administration, FDA, 2000; Bediako et al., 2019). The MLs set by the Standard Organization of Nigeria (SON, 2006) for groundnut kernel is 20 and 4 µg/kg for Kuli-kuli a by-product of groundnut.

However, in Africa, large proportions of groundnut and groundnut-based products contain aflatoxins exceeding MLs. Between 22 to 54% of groundnut samples collected in Mali during the 2009 and 2010 cropping seasons, contained AfB1 contamination levels above 20 µg/kg (Waliyar et al., 2015). In Malawi, 21 and 8% of samples of groundnut kernel were contaminated with AfB1 above 20 µg/kg during 2008 and 2009 cropping seasons, respectively (Gong et al., 2015). In Botswana, nearly 78% of kernels of groundnut samples had total aflatoxin (AF_T) ranging between 12 and 329 µg/kg (Mphande et al., 2004; Monyo et al., 2012) while in Benin, all of the kernel of groundnut produced and placed on domestic markets were contaminated with AfB1 (Hell et al., 2003). In Ghana, between 5 and 15% of kernels of groundnut samples were discarded during sorting due to potential

for aflatoxin contamination (Awuah et al., 2006).

In Nigeria, between 30 to 90% of marketed and/or stored kernels of groundnuts were contaminated by aflatoxin of which between 25 to 83% exceeded the Nigerian and EU MLs of 20 and 4 μ g/kg, respectively (Ezekiel et al., 2012a). Average aflatoxin concentrations were reported between 43 and 118 μ g/kg and 39 and 198 μ g/kg for AfB1 and AFT, respectively in Southwestern Nigeria (Ezekiel et al., 2012a, b). Similar reports from the Kaduna and Port Harcourt cities in North and South Nigeria respectively, showed that between 14 and 25% of groundnut kernel and groundnut-based products exceeded the US and Nigeria MLs of 20 μ g/kg.

This scenario demonstrates that aflatoxin contamination levels well above permissible limits by national and international regulatory agencies are rampant in countries of West and Central Africa (Akano and Atanda, 1990; Arowora and Ikeorah, 2010; Atanda et al., 2013; Ifeji et al., 2014; Salau et al., 2017). In order to enhance knowledge and consciousness on aflatoxins in the groundnut value chain, this paper presents the prevalence and distribution of AfB1 in groundnut and groundnut-based products in Northwestern Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling and collection of samples

A combination of purposive and random sampling procedures was used to collect groundnut and groundnut-based products from different sites in five States of Northwestern Nigeria (Kano, Jigawa, Katsina, Kebbi and Sokoto) and Benue State in North central Nigeria (Figure 1). At least 100 g of shelled groundnut of improved and local varieties were collected from farmers who hosted varietal demonstrations. Similarly, groundnut-based products were collected from vendors of these products in markets of local government areas (LGAs) of these states. Samples of improved groundnut kernel and local groundnut varieties were packaged and labeled by location. The total number of samples by type is summarized in Table 1.

Detection and quantification of AfB1

Except, groundnut oil, all samples were blended separately using a dry mill kitchen grinder (Kanchan Multipurpose Kitchen Machine, Kanchan International Limited, Mumbai, India). After crushing each sample, the blender was washed with 3.5% Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCI) and carefully rinsed with water to avoid cross contamination. 20 g of each blended sample was titrated with 100 ml of 70% methanol (v/v 70 ml absolute methanol in 30 ml distilled water) containing 5 g potassium chloride and further blended in Waring Commercial blender until homogeneity was reached. The mixture was then transferred into a 250 ml of labelled conical flasks and shaken on a Benchmark orbital (Model ORBI-Shaker) shaker for 30 min. Filtration was done using Whatman filter paper number 4. The extract was then diluted with Phosphate buffer, 1:10 phosphate buffer saline in Tween-20 (1 ml of extract and 9ml of buffer) and analyzed for aflatoxin contamination using the Indirect



Figure 1. States (Administrative units) included in the study.

 Table 1. Summary of samples collected for aflatoxin detection and quantification.

State		Improved grou	Bulked local	Groundnut-based products					
	SAMNUT 23 SAMNUT 24 SAMNUT 24		SAMNUT 25	SAMNUT 26	varieties	Kulikuli	G/N oil	Fried G/N	Totals
Jigawa	6	13	2	0	39	12	3	1	76
Kano	31	71	29	6	37	31	4	7	216
Katsina	0	25	9	9	15	18	4	5	85
Kebbi	2	22	6	1	15	9	4	1	60
Sokoto	2	45	0	1	21	19	0	1	89
Total	41	176	46	17	127	89	15	15	526

Competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) as described by Waliyar et al. (2015) and the ELISA protocol provided by ICRISAT - International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

AfB1 BSA antigen was coated unto an ELISA plate (Nunc, Maxisorp). The plates were incubated at 37°C for one hour before the toxin solution was collected and stored in a large glass bottle for disposal. The plates were washed in three changes of PBS–Tween, allowing a holding time of three minutes per wash. The plates were blocked with a 150 μ I per well solution of 0.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS–Tween and incubated at 37°C for one hour. The blocked plates were then washed in three changes of PBS–Tween allowing three minutes for each wash. To the washed plates, 100 μ I of groundnut kernel extract was added followed by 50 μ I of antiserum. Instead of the groundnut kernel extract, 100 μ I aliquots of different concentrations of between 25 and 100 ng were added into the first 20 wells (two rows of 10 wells each) to serve as a standard. The plates were then

incubated for one hour at 37°C to facilitate reaction between the toxins and the antibody (ICRISAT, 2010).

The plates were subsequently washed in three changes of PBS– Tween allowing 3 min for each wash. A dilution of 1:1000 goat antirabbit IgG labeled with alkaline phosphatase was prepared in PBS-Tween containing 0.2% BSA. A 150µl aliquot was added to each well, and incubated for one hour at 37°C. The plates were washed in three changes of PBS–Tween. A 150µl aliquot per well of substrate solution (p-nitro phenyl phosphate prepared in 10% diethanolamine buffer, pH 9.8) was added and incubated for about one hour at 37°C. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm in an ELISA plate reader (Multiskan Plus, Labsystems Company, Helsinki, Finland).

AfB1 levels were detected and quantified using a spectrophotometer by giving optical density values at a 405 nm wavelength. A linear regression curve was plotted for optical density values and a standard curve used to extrapolate with known correlation coefficients thereby giving concentrations in microgram per kg (μ g/kg). All samples were analyzed in duplicate replication. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16 was used to summarize and analyze the values. The results are presented using means, percentages and standard errors.

RESULTS

Table 2 presents the pattern of AfB1 contamination in the samples subjected to the analyses. On average, only 9% of the samples met the European Union (EU) limit for AfB1 with another 39% revealing AfB1 levels between the 2.1 - 8 μ g/kg ML. This gives rise to a total of 48% which met the EU limit. About 91% of the samples had AfB1 contamination levels up to 20 μ g/kg, which are within the US and Nigeria limits for total aflatoxins in groundnut. The mean AfB1 contamination levels in all the states ranged between 1.06 and 162.8 μ g/kg, though there were variations between and amongst states.

Katsina State had the highest percentage of contaminated samples for all classes (0-2, 2.1-8, 8.1-20 and above 20 μ g/kg). This was followed by Kano State with the highest percentage of samples within the 2.1-8.0 μ g/kg class. None of the samples from Sokoto met the 0-2 μ g/kg limit. Across the states, minimum mean AfB1 concentration ranged from 0.1 μ g/kg in Jigawa, Kano and Katsina states to 4.31 μ g/kg in Sokoto State. Maximum mean AfB1 levels ranged from 26.91 μ g/kg in Sokoto to 397.4 μ g/kg in Kebbi State. Similar comparisons of the MLs of AfB1 contamination were reported by Hoeltzl et al. (2012).

AfB1 contamination in kernels of local and improved groundnut varieties

Mean AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernel are presented in Table 3 and Figure 2. Although mean AfB1 contamination levels varied between local and improved groundnut varieties within each State, the ranges were broadest in Sokoto ($6.04 - 13.12 \mu g/kg$) and Kano States

(6.19 - 13.19 μ g/kg) (Figure 2). Individually, all improved varieties, SAMNUTs 23, 24, 25 and 26 were in the lower range of contamination from $\leq 1 \mu$ g/kg in SAMNUT 25 in Sokoto and Benue and SAMNUT 26 in Jigawa, Kebbi and Benue; to 10.49 μ g/kg in SAMNUT 25 in Jigawa State. Local groundnut varieties had AfB1 concentrations levels in a higher range between 10.15 μ g/kg in Benue State to 13.74 μ g/kg in Sokoto State. Mean AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernel of improved varieties were almost half those of local varieties. SAMNUT 26, AfB1 contamination levels ranged from ≤ 1 to 6.87 μ g/kg; with minimum and maximum AfB1 contamination levels lowest of all the varieties. The variation between mean AfB1 levels in improved and local varieties was statistically significant (P \leq 0.05).

All the improved groundnut varieties taken together showed AfB1 contamination levels ranging from 3.79 μ g/kg in Katsina State to 6.97 μ g/kg in Kebbi State, while AfB1 contamination levels of bulked local varieties ranged from 7.82 μ g/kg in Kano State to 12.33 μ g/kg in Kebbi State.

AfB1 contamination in groundnut-based products

In the case of processed products (Figure 3), powder kuli-kuli collected from Sokoto State had the highest mean AfB1 contamination of 135.44 µg/kg, followed by those collected from Kano (8.03 µg/kg). Jigawa and Kebbi States had similar AfB1 contamination levels of 0.10 µg/kg. Groundnut cake samples from Kebbi State had the highest AfB1 contamination (122.26 µg/kg), followed by samples from Sokoto State (75.61 µg/kg), Jigawa State (48.47 µg/kg) and Katsina State (18.32 µg/kg). The lowest levels of samples contaminated with AfB1 were found in groundnut cake from Kano State (13.53 µg/kg), in groundnut oil from Kebbi State (71.70 µg/kg), Jigawa State (48.65 µg/kg), Kano State (10.70 µg/kg) and Sokoto (8.23 µg/kg). Roasted groundnut from Kebbi State also had the highest level of AfB1 contamination (72.70 µg/kg), followed by Katsina (8.40 µg/kg) Kano (8.24 µg/kg) and Jigawa (0.60 µg/kg). Of all the groundnut-based products collected from the five states, the highest AfB1 contamination levels were found in Kuli-kuli powder.

AfB1 contamination in both groundnut kernel and groundnut-based products according to LGAs

Only three LGAs (Safana in Katsina State, Bebeji and Tofa in Kano State) had the least number of samples with AfB1 contamination ranging between 6.57 and 7.67 μ g/kg for groundnut kernels as shown in Figure 4. This was followed by one LGA in Jigawa State (Dutse), one LGA in Kebbi State, Shagari in Sokoto State, Musawa in Katsina

162.8

15.0±3.01

9

1.06

State	No. of		Percentage ra	ange of (µg/kg	M	Minimum	Maximum	
		0-2	2.1-8	8.1-20	Above 20	- Mean ± SE	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
Jigawa	76	8	8	70	14	18.65±3.44	0.1	193.4
Kano	216	14	61	20	5	8.13±0.57	0.1	89.4
Katsina	85	19	42	34	5	9.17±1.36	0.1	104.3
Kebbi	60	3	25	55	17	29.87±9.19	0.7	397.4
Sokoto	69		59	36	5	9.20±0.58	4.31	26.91

43

Table 2. AfB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernel and groundnut-based products.

_

_

Means

101

Table 3. AFB1 contamination levels in groundnut kernels and groundnut-based products.

39

9

	Improved varieties			Lo	ocal varieties	S	Groundnut based products			
State	Mean ±SE	Min. (µg/kg)	Max. (µg/kg)	Mean ±SE	Min. (µg/kg)	Max. (µg/kg)	Mean ±SE	Min (µg/kg)	Max (µg/kg)	
Jigawa	6.03±0.78	0.1	8.95	11.91±0.34	8.98	16.88	12.3±1.43	16.99	193.4	
Kano	4.89±0.20	0.1	7.12	7.82±0.09	7.12	9.07	18.98±2.15	9.33	89.4	
Katsina	3.79±0.41	0.1	7.2	8.01±0.09	7.32	8.81	18.36±3.63	8.82	104.3	
Kebbi	6.97±0.42	0.7	9.12	12.33±0.49	9.76	16.00	99.37±33.98	16.01	397	
Sokoto	6.37±0.18	0.6	7.61	12.02±0.58	8.23	16.32	91.47±20.13	17.2	277.2	



States

Figure 2. Concentrations in groundnut kernels.



Figure 3. AfB1contamination in groundnut-based products in Northwestern Nigeria.



Figure 4. LGAs with groundnut samples of AfB1 contamination in Northern Nigeria.

State and four LGAs in Kano State (Minjibir, Garko, Bebeji and Dawakin-Kudu) between 7.68 and 8.92 μ g/kg. About 40% of the LGAs (12 out of 31) had samples with AfB1 contamination levels ranging between 8.93 and 10.95 μ g/kg. Three of these LGAs had samples contaminated with AfB1 levels above 10.96 μ g/kg with Tangaza (Sokoto State), Maiyama (Kebbi State) and Zango (Katsina State) having samples with AfB1 contamination levels between 10.96 and 13.10 μ g/kg and two LGAs namely Argungu and Birnin-Kebbi (both in Kebbi State) having samples with the highest mean AfB1 contaminations ranging from 13.11 to 15.55 μ g/kg.

DISCUSSION

Aflatoxin and pesticide contamination in agricultural commodities has become public health concerns (Wagacha and Muthomi, 2008; PACA, 2017). Previous studies reported results similar to those of this study especially for kuli-kuli with AfB1 contamination level ranging between 53 and 2,820 µg/kg (Ezekiel et al., 2013; Kayode et al., 2013; Ogara et al., 2017; Oluwabamiwo et al., 2017). These results also confirm those of Chen et al. (2013) with high levels of AfB1 contamination in groundnut-based products. This plethora of high levels of AfB1 notably in groundnutbased products bring to the open sufficient actions required for the effective management of aflatoxins in Nigeria.

AfB1 contamination levels were lower in kernels of improved groundnut varieties than in those of local varieties. Higher AfB1 contamination levels in local groundnut varieties may be associated with inability for them to escape from end of season droughts as they take longer days to mature (120 -150 days) compared to improved groundnut varieties with maturity periods of between 75 - 85 days (Jalloh et al., 2013; Ajeigbe et al., 2015; Vabi et al., 2019). The maturity periods of these local groundnut varieties coincide with periods of moisture stress, a condition that favors rapid growth and multiplication of aflatoxin producing fungi. Jalloh et al. (2013) suggested that promoting extra short duration groundnut varieties that escape temporal and spatial rainfall regimes are highly encouraged. The short duration and high yielding groundnut varieties being promoted by development partners of the Nigerian Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (FMARD) are able to escape drought stress since they are harvested earlier than local groundnut varieties.

In terms of distribution, AfB1 contamination levels were higher in both kernel and groundnut-based products from Kebbi and Sokoto States than in other States. The cropping season in these two states occurs between June and October with mean annual rainfall ranging between 500 and 1,300 mm. Also, annual average

temperature in these States is 28.3°C though maximum daytime temperatures in Sokoto can be as high as 40°C most of the year. Therefore, the hot humid conditions in these States favor the growth of aflatoxin-producing fungi as reported by Mclean and Berjak (1987) and Atanda et al. (2013). Stress due to drought enhances the vulnerability of crops to Aspergillus infection and increase of AfB1 production. While climatic and storage conditions play vital roles in the growth of aflatoxin producing fungi 2013), inappropriate agricultural (Muteai et al., management practices including the choice of groundnut varieties to be grown are options for the effective management of aflatoxin as demonstrated by several authors notably Salau et al. (2017).

In a similar connection, promoting improved groundnut varieties alongside recommended crop management practices have consistently been reported to be alternatives for effectively managing aflatoxin in agricultural sector value chains (Kumar and Popat, 2010; Hell et al., 2003; Arowora and Ikeorah, 2010; Waliyar et al., 2015; Vabi et al., 2016). Recently, strategies have been embarked upon to develop groundnut germplasms that exhibit improved genetic resistance to *A. flavus* infection, and therefore aflatoxin contamination (Sharma et al., 2017).

Conclusion

Results of this study validate the presence of AfB1 in the kernels of both improved and local groundnut varieties in Kano, Jigawa, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto and Benue States of Nigeria. AfB1 contamination levels were lower in groundnut kernels than in groundnut-based products with high levels in groundnut cake (kuli-kuli) demonstrating uraent public health concerns. Thouah AfB1 contamination levels vary between groundnut kernels and groundnut-based products and between the states included in the study, they underline the imperative of proactive measures to mitigate aflatoxin contamination at all levels beginning from the farm. This notwithstanding, results of this study reveal that the promotion of improved groundnut varieties may constitute a leeway for the effective mitigation of AfB1 contamination. In addition to improved groundnut varieties, appropriate farm-level and post-harvest management practices should greatly reduce chances of aflatoxin contamination in the groundnut value chain.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Samples used for this survey were collected as part of

activities of the Regional Project funded by USAID entitled *Groundnut Upscaling Project implemented in Ghana, Mali and Nigeria.* The authors and the institutions for which they are currently affiliated are most grateful to USAID for providing funds for this project.

REFERENCES

- Ajeigbe HA, Waliyar F, Echekwu CA, Ayuba K, Motagi BN, Eniayeju D andInuwa A. (2015). A Farmer's Guide to profitable Groundnut Production in Nigeria. Patancheru 502 324, Telangana, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 36p.
- Akano DA, Atanda O (1990). The present level of aflatoxin in Nigerian groundnut cake ('kulikuli'). Letters in Applied Microbiology 10(4):187-189.
- Arowora KA, Ikeorah JN (2010). Five decades of Aflatoxin research in Nigeria Stored Products Research Institute (NSPRI). Fifth Annual Conference of the Nigeria `Mycotoxin Awareness Research and Study Network, NSPRI`, Ilorin. 26-28th September 2010.
- Atanda O, Anthony H, Isaac M, Ogara M, Edema M, Kingsley O, Idahor M, Eshiett E, Oluwabamiwo BF (2013). Fungal and Mycotoxin Contamination of Nigerian Foods and Feeds. In: Mycotoxin and Food Safety in Developing Countries, pp. 1-37.
- Awuah RT, Fialor SC, Binns AD, Kagochi J, Jolly CM (2006). Factors influencing market participant's decision to sort groundnuts along the marketing chain in Ghana. Peanut Science 36(1):68-76.
- Bediako KA, Ofori K, Offei SK, Dzidzienyo D, Asibuo JY, Amoah RA (2019). Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.): Predisposing factors and management interventions. Review in Food Control 98:61-67.
- Chen YC, Liao CD, Lin HY, Chiueh LC, Shih DYC (2013). Survey of aflatoxin contamination in peanut products in Taiwan from 1997 to 2011. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis 21(3):247 -252.
- European Union Commission Regulation (EC) No.1881/2006 as amended.
- Ezekiel CN, Kayode FO, Fapohunda SO, Olurunfemi MF, Kponi BT (2012b). Aflatoxigenic moulds and aflatoxins in street-vended snacks in Lagos, Nigeria. Internet Journal of Food Safety 14:88-92.
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Babalola DA. Warth B, Ezekiel VC, Krska R (2013). Incidence and consumer awareness of toxigenic Aspergillus section flavi and aflatoxin B1 in peanut cake from Nigeria. Food Control 30(2):596-601.
- Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Warth B, Odebode AC, Krska R (2012a). Natural occurrence of mycotoxins in peanut cake from Nigeria. Food Control 27(2):338-342.
- FAO (2015). Codex Alimentarius Commission CODEX STAN 1993-95. Adopted 1995 Revised ` 1997, 2006, 2008, 2009 Amended 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2000). Guidance for Industry: Action levels for `poisonous and deleterious substances in foods animal feeds. FDA-1998-N human and 0050.https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance documents/guidance industry-action-levels-poisonous-or-deleterious-18th substances-human food-and-animal-feed. Accessed /09/2019.
- Gong YY, Kimanya ME, Musoke G, Nelson F, Sonoiya S, Manyong V (2015). Building an Aflatoxin Safe East African Community. Technical Paper Number 8. Aflatoxin Standards for Foods; Knowledge Platform Situational Analysis
- Guimon J, Guimon P (2012). How ready-to use therapeutic food shapes a new technological regime to treat child malnutrition. Technological Forecasting and Social Change 79:1319-27.
- Hell K, Cardwell KF, Poehling HM (2003).Relationship between management practices, fungal infection and aflatoxin for stored maize in Benin. Journal of Phytopathology 151:(12):690-698.
- Hoeltzl M, Einloftl TC, Oldonil P, Dottorill HA, Isa Beatriz NIB (2012) The occurrence of aflatoxin B1 contamination in peanuts and

peanut products marketed in southern Brazil. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 55(2) http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132012000200019

- ICRISAT International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (2010). ELISA; An Inexpensive and Precise Tool for Estimation of Aflatoxins. www.icrisat.org/aflatoxin.
- Ifeji EI, Makun HA, Mohammed HL, Adeyemi RYH, Madefiya SC (2014). Natural occurrence of aflatoxin and ochratoxin A in raw and roasted groundnut from Niger States, Nigeria. Mycotoxicology 1:35-45
- Jalloh A, Nelson GC, Thomas TS, Zougmoré R, Roy-Macauley H (2013). West African Agriculture and Climate Change: A Comprehensive Analysis. IFPRI Books and Research Monographs; International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI): Washington, DC, USA, 2013; 408p, ISBN 978-0-89629-204-8.
- Kanyi KJ (2018). Continental Climate Changes on the Occurrence of Aflatoxin Producing Aspergillus species: Review. Austin Journal of Microbiology 4(1):1021.
- Kayode OF, Sulyok M, Fapohunda SO, Ezekiel CN, Krska R, Oguntona CR (2013). Mycotoxins and fungal metabolites in groundnut- and maize-based snacks from Nigeria Food Additives Contaminants, Part B: Surveillance 6(4):294-300
- Kumar GS, Popat MN (2010). Factors influencing the adoption of aflatoxin management practices in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). International Journal of Pest Management 56(2):165-171.
- Mclean M, Berjak P (1987). Maize grains and their associated mycoflora: A micro-ecological consideration. Seed Science and Technology 15:813-850.
- Monyo ES, Njoroge SMC, Coe R, Osiru M, Madinda F, Waliyar F, Anitha S (2012). Occurrence and distribution of aflatoxin contamination in groundnuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L) and population density of Aflatoxigenic Aspergilli in Malawi. Crop Protection 42:149-155.
- Mphande FA, Siam BA, Taylor JE (2004). Fungi, Aflatoxins and cyclopiazonic acid associated with peanut vending in Botswana. Journal of Food Protection 67(1):96-102.
- Mutegi CK, Wagacha JM, Christie ME, Kimani J, Karanja L (2013). Effect of storage conditions on quality and aflatoxin contamination of peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). International Journal of AgriScience 3(10):746-758.
- Ogara IM, Oluwabamiwo BF, Adedayo VO, Adgizi EA, Idahor KO, Ari MM (2017). Aflatoxin B1 of freshly harvested, hand and machine shelled groundnuts and groundnut cake. Production Agriculture and Technology 13(1):26-29.
- Oluwabamiwo BF, Nden E, Abdullahi M (2017). Food safety challenges of Kuli kuli sold in Kaduna metropolis. Presented at the 12th Annual Conference of the Mycotoxicology Society of Nigeria held 1-3rd November 2017 at the Federal Institute of Industrial Research, Oshodi, Lagos.
- PACA (2017). Review and Update of the Nigerian Aflatoxin Assessment and Mitigation Strategy. Final Report, 139p.
- Pitt JI, Taniwaki MH, Cole MB (2013). Mycotoxin production in major crops as influenced by growing, harvesting, storage and processing, with emphasis on the achievement of Food Safety Objectives. Food Control 32(1):205-215.
- Salau IA, Shehu K, Muhammad S, Umar RA (2017). Aflatoxin Contamination of Stored Groundnut Kernel in Sokoto State, Nigeria. Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences 6(10):285- 293.
- Sharma KK, Pothana A, Prasad K, Shh D, Kaur j; Bathnagar D, Chen Y, Raruang Y, Cay JW, Rajasekaran K, Sudin HK, Bhathnagar-Mathur P (2017). Peanuts that keep aflatoxin at bay: A threshold that matters. Plant Biotechnology Journal 16:1024- 1033.
- Standards Organization of Nigeria SON (2006). Standards for groundnut (in-shell and kernels), Nigeria Industrial Standard 491:2006.
- Vabi BM, Mohammed SG, Echekwu CA, Mukhtar AA, Ahmed B, Ajeigbe HA, Eche CO (2019). Best Choices for Enhancing Groundnut Productivity in Nigeria. ICRISAT, Patencheru. 29p. ISBN 978-93-86527-02-8
- Vabi MB, Eche CO, Kunihya A, Motagi BN, Mukhtar AA, Haruna SG, Mohammed SG, Ajeigbe HA (2016). Towards a Successful

management of Aflatoxin Contamination in Legume and Cereal Farming Systems in Northern Nigeria: *Case Study of the Groundnut Value chain.* Paper Presented at the 44th Annual Conference of Nigeria Society of Plant Protection, Zaria.

- Vabi MB, Ajeigbe HA, Diama A, Affognon H (2018). Cook's Guide to Groundnut Delicacies: *Favorite Recipes from Northern Nigeria*. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT). ISBN 978-93-86527-00-4
- Wagacha JM, Muthomi JW (2008). Mycotoxin problem in Africa: Current status, implications to food safety and health and possible management strategies. International Journal of Food Microbiology 124:1-12.
- Waliyar F, Umeh VC, Traore A, Osiru M, Ntare BR, Diarra B, Kodio O, Vijay K, Sudini H (2015). Prevalence and distribution of aflatoxin contamination in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Mali, West Africa. Crop Protection 70:1-7.
- Williams JH, Phillips TD, Jolly PE, Stiles JK, Jolly CM, Aggarwal D (2004). Human aflatoxicosis in developing countries: A review of 101 toxicology, exposure, potential health consequences, and interventions. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 80(5):1106 22.