academicJournals

Vol.5(8), pp. 215-221 August, 2013
DOI 10.5897/JVMAH2013.0219

http://www.academicjournals.org/JVMAH

Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health

Full Length Research Paper

Study on bovine mastitis and its effect on chemical composition of milk in and around Gondar Town, Ethiopia

Sefinew Alemu[1], Firaol Tamiru[2*], Gizat Almaw[3] and Awoke Tsega[4]

[1]Department of Clinical Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia.
[2]Department of Veterinary Laboratory Technology, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, Ambo University, Ethiopia
[3] National Animal Health Diagnostic and Investigation Center, Sebeta, Ethiopia.
[4]North Gondar zone, Department of Agriculture, ANRS, Ethiopia

Accepted 3 July, 2013

A cross sectional study was undertaken from October, 2010 to June, 2011 to estimate prevalence of mastitis, to identify potential risk factors, and to assess impact of mastitis on chemical composition of bovine milk in and around Gondar town, Ethiopia. The study was conducted using California Mastitis Test for screening of subclinical mastitis, clinical examination and ultramilker to analyze chemical composition of milk. A total of 1,097 quarter milk samples collected from 290 local zebu and Holestein-zebu cross breed cows were examined; and overall prevalence of 46.9 and 24.3% was observed at cow and quarter level, respectively. Clinical and subclinical mastitis were detected with prevalence of 9.7 and 37.2%, respectively. From observed risk factors breed, milk yield, housing and feeding show statistically significance difference ($p < 0.05$) in prevalence of mastitis. Of all parameters, chemical composition of milk, statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was observed in the mean fat composition among different mastitic milk. In conclusion, prevalent occurrence of mastitis accompanied with different potential risk factors was an important problem affecting dairy production; therefore, integrated control measures and monitoring were suggested.

Key words: Gondar, mastitis, milk composition, risk factors, prevalence.

INTRODUCTION

Milk is one of the most important foods of human beings. It is universally recognized as a complete diet due to its essential components (Battaglia, 2007; Javaid et al., 2009). In recent years, the demand for liquid milk is increased tremendously worldwide due to increased population growth (Klaas, 2000). However, production of milk has been affected by various factors like mastitis (Payne and Wilson, 1999).

Mastitis is inflammation of mammary gland and costly production disease affecting dairy cattle industry world-wide (Wallenberg et al., 2002; Seegers et al., 2003). It is often classified as subclinical or clinical depending on the severity of the disease or contagious and environmental based on the causative agents (Andrews et al., 2003). The occurrence of mastitis depends on the interaction between microbial agent, host and environmental factors. The changes in composition of milk are one of the consequences of mastitis in dairy cows (Gianneechini et

*Corresponding author. E-mail: tfiraol@gmail.com.

al., 2002). It leads to a reduction of yield, lactose and butter fat. Milk protein levels will increase slightly with mastitis, but the protein is of lower quality, with increased levels of globulin and decreased casein (Andrews et al., 2003).

In Ethiopia, cows are the main source of milk and 42% of the total cattle herds for private holdings are milking cows (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 1990). Ethiopia's increasing human population and urbanization trends are leading to a substantial increase in the demand for milk and meat (Azage et al., 2001). The activities of Ethiopia for self-sufficiency together with increase in milk demand leads to having a significant percentage of improved breeds of dairy cattle in the years to come, which are susceptible to most diseases including mastitis (Lemma et al., 2001).

In North Gondar zone, the Integrated Livestock Development Project (ILDP), Austrian funded project, provided crossbred heifers for poor farmers to improve their livelihood, as part of the activity of self-sufficiency. According to Almaw et al. (2009), these crossbred cattle are suffering from subclinical mastitis. However, the level of different types of mastitis, potential risk factors and impact of mastitis on chemical composition of the milk were not well studied. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to estimate prevalence of mastitis, to identify potential risk factors, and to assess impact of mastitis on chemical composition of bovine milk in and around Gondar town, Ethiopia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design, mastitis examination and sample collection

It was a cross-sectional study conducted on 290 lactating local zebu and Holstein-Zebu crossbred cows from October, 2010 to June, 2011 in and around Gondar town of North Gondar zone, Ethiopia. Breed, age, stage of lactation, parity, milk yield, tick infestation, housing and feeding of study animals were considered as risk factors to be tested for occurrence of mastitis.

History about the udder and quarters was asked. The udder (including its symmetry, size, consistency and hotness) and milk (including its consistency and color change) were physically examined. Clinical mastitis was diagnosed on the basis of manifestation of visible signs of inflammation. A warm and swollen quarter which had pain upon palpation was considered to have acute clinical mastitis otherwise chronic mastitis when misshaped, atrophied, hard and fibrotic quarters were examined (International Dairy Federation (IDF), 1987). A quarter was considered subclinically affected when clinical signs were not present and become positive by California Mastitis Test (CMT). A cow which had one or more positive quarters by CMT was considered positive for subclinical mastitis (Quinn et al., 1994).

Milk samples were collected from clinically and subclinically affected non-blind quarters and additionally, normal quarters. For comparative study of chemical composition of milk, pooled sample was collected from normal quarters of non-mastitic cows; however, milk from cross and local breed cows were collected into separate bottles. After milking out and discarding the first two drops of milk, the milk was examined both for clinical and subclinical mastitis. Then, about 50 ml from each quarter was aseptically collected using sterile universal bottle.

Finally, samples were transported in ice box to University of Gondar Veterinary Microbiology and Public Health laboratory for analysis. Samples will be kept at 4°C not for more than18 h if immediate analysis is not convenient.

Milk analysis

Milk samples of both mastitic and non-mastitic quarters were analyzed separately according to their collection using ultramilker (Hangzhou Ultrasun Technologies Co Ltd., 2010). Samples had been warmed at 30°C if they were preserved at 4°C, and fat, solid not-fat (SNF), protein, lactose and ash were analyzed according to description of Hangzhou Ultrasun Technologies Co Ltd. (2010).

Data analysis

The data was entered and managed in Microsoft Excel spread sheet. Presence of difference in prevalence of mastitis between different groups of risk factors was tested by using chi-square test; Fisher's exact test was used when the numbers within categories were too small for the Chi-square test. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate presence of significant difference in means of a specific milk composition of more than two means. Student t-test was used for two means and to identify categories of leading significant difference when it was found statistically significant by ANOVA. Multiple regression analysis was applied to see the confounding effects of breed and mastitis on chemical composition of milk. P-value less than 5% was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Prevalence study

An overall prevalence of 46.9% (136 of 290 cattle) was observed. Of these 136 cows which were positive for mastitis, 108 (79.4%) was due to subclinical while the rest 28 (20.6%) was due to clinical mastitis (Table 1). When the prevalence of clinical and subclinical mastitis compared between local and cross breed cows, higher prevalence was observed in cross breed cows in both cases with prevalence of 12.9% ($\chi^2 = 6.2728$, $P < 0.05$) and 47.3% ($\chi^2 = 22.5048$, $P < 0.05$), respectively (Table 2).

Risk factors

Breed, stage of lactation, milk yield, housing, feed, tick infestation, age and parity were evaluated as risk factors for prevalence of mastitis; of which breed, milk yield, housing and feeding show statistically significance difference ($P < 0.05$) in prevalence (Table 3).

Table 1. Prevalence of clinical and subclinical mastitis in cattle at cow and quarter level in and around Gondar.

Type of mastitis	No. of animals/quarters examined	Positive (%)
Cow level		
Subclinical		108 (37.2)
Clinical	290	28 (9.7)
Total		136 (46.9)
Quarter level		
Subclinical		236 (21.5)
Clinical	1097	31 (2.8)
Total		267 (24.3)

Table 2. Prevalence of clinical and subclinical mastitis between local and cross breed cattle.

Type of mastitis	Breed		Total (%)	χ^2 or Fisher's exact	p-value
	Cross (%)	Local (%)			
Total no. of animals examined	186	104	290	-	-
Clinical	24 (12.9)	4 (3.8)	28 (9.7)	6.2728	0.012
Subclinical	88 (47.3)	20 (19.2)	108 (37.2)	22.5048	0.000
Total	112 (60.2)	24 (23.1)	136 (46.9)	-	-

Chemical composition of milk

Table 4 shows results of milk composition of clinical, subclinical and normal milk of cross and local breeds. The calculated means of types of mastitis was analyzed and statistically significant difference was observed between types of mastitis in bringing effects on fat in cross breeds ($F = 19.45$, $P < 0.05$). The mean of the fat showed significant difference between normal (non-mastitic) and clinical mastitis ($t = 3.9644$, $P < 0.05$) and between subclinical and clinical mastitis ($t = 4.3891$, $P < 0.05$). There were also some alteration in chemical composition of milk and this showed different degrees of increment or decrement with respect to types of mastitis from the normal (non-mastitic) cows in the study area. Breed was not found as confounding factor affecting fat composition.

DISCUSSION

Prevalence study

The prevalence of mastitis at cow and quarter level was observed. At cow level, overall prevalence of 46.9% (136 of 290 cows) mastitis was observed. The observed prevalence in this study was in agreement with work of Workineh et al. (2002) who reported mastitis with prevalence of 45.4% in their study on prevalence and etiology of mastitis in cows from two major Ethiopian dairies. It was higher than previous reports of mastitis in some parts of Ethiopia. Getahun et al. (2008) and Bitew et al. (2010) reported mastitis with prevalence of 24.9 and 28.2% in their respective studies in Selalle, and Bahir Dar, respectively. Similarly, Klastrup and Halliwell (1997) in Malawi reported bovine mastitis with prevalence of 17.19%. However, it was lower than the works of Sori et al. (2005), Lakew et al. (2009), and Mekibeb et al. (2010) who reported mastitis with prevalence of 52.78, 65.6 and 71.0% in their respective studies. The observed difference in the prevalence of mastitis among these studies could be due to difference in managemental system (Almaw et al., 2008).

At quarter level, overall prevalence of 24.3% was observed. It was in close agreement with the work of Almaw et al. (2008) in their study of bovine mastitis on smallholder dairy farms (22.8%) in Bahir Dar, and Fadlelmoula et al. (2007a) in large scale dairy farms (27.57%) in Thuringia-Germany. The quarter level mastitis observed in this study was higher than the work of Getahun et al. (2008) from their study (10.61%) in Selalle. According to Quinn et al. (1994), occurrence of

Table 3. Prevalence of mastitis compared between/among risk factors.

Risk factor	Animals examined	Positive (%)	χ^2 or Fisher's exact	P-value
Breed				
Cross	186	112 (60.2)	36.9424	0.000
Local	104	24 (23.1)		
Stage of lactation				
Early	91	41 (45.1)		
Middle	137	65 (47.4)	0.1958	0.907
Late	62	30 (48.4)		
Milk yield				
Low	91	28 (30.7)		
Medium	101	52 (51.5)	14.4892	0.001
High	98	56 (57.1)		
Housing				
Poor	121	40 (33.1)		
Fair	69	34 (49.3)		0.000
Good	72	37 (51.4)	29.17	
Very good	27	24 (88.9)		
Feed				
Mixed	219	115 (52.5)	11.3240	0.001
Pasture	71	21 (29.6)		
Tick infestation				
Absent	260	118 (45.4)	2.3070	0.129
Present	30	18 (60)		
Age				
Young	16	4 (25)		
Adult	223	108 (48.4)	0.214	0.214
Old	51	24 (47.1)		
Parity				
1 and 2	132	53 (40.2)		
3 and 4	112	65 (58)		0.310
5 and 6	41	16 (39)	0.023	
7 and 8	5	2 (40)		
Total for each	290	136		

mastitis depends on the interaction between microbial agent, host and environmental factors. Therefore, the difference in the prevalence of mastitis both at cow and quarter level might be associated with difference in interaction among host, agent and environment in the different study areas.

Clinical mastitis was observed at prevalence of 9.7 and 2.8% at cow and quarter level, respectively. Prevalence of clinical mastitis at cow and quarter level in the current study was higher than work of Getahun et al. (2008) who reported clinical mastitis with prevalence of 1.8 and 0.51% at cow and quarter level, respectively. The cow level prevalence observed in this study was higher than prevalence of 4.4, 3.6 and 3% reported by respective

Table 4. Milk composition of clinical, subclinical and normal milk of cross and local breeds.

Component of milk (%)	Cross breed					Local breed			
	No. of observations = 74					No. of observations = 24			
	N	C	S	P-value	F-test	N	S	P-value	F-test
Fat	6.024615	2.53375	6.0665	0.0000	19.45	5.676	5.377143	0.7458	0.11
SNF	9.274615	8.64875	8.99225	0.5475	0.61	9.493	9.195	0.7413	0.11
Protein	3.510769	6.59875	3.3135	0.1441	1.99	3.514	3.742857	0.8161	0.06
Lactose	5.173077	4.76125	4.91175	0.8396	0.18	5.297	4.981429	0.9542	0.00
Ash	0.6984	0.6425	0.6535	0.4013	0.92	0.713	.6871429	0.8301	0.05

N = Non-mastitic, C = clinical mastitis, S = subclinical.

studies of Bishi (1998), Mungube (2001) and Bitew et al. (2010) in different study areas. However, it was lower than the work of Lakew et al. (2009) and Mekibeb et al. (2010) who reported clinical mastitis with prevalence of 26.5 and 22.4%, respectively.

Subclinical mastitis was observed with prevalence of 37.2% at cow level. It was in close agreement with prevalence of 34.6, 36.7 and 38.1% reported by Abaineh and Sintayehu (2001), Sori et al. (2005), and Lakew et al. (2009), respectively. Almaw et al. (2008) and Getahun et al. (2008) also reported subclinical mastitis but with prevalence of 25.22 and 22.3%, respectively. At quarter level, subclinical mastitis was observed with prevalence of 21.5% in the present study. The result of current study was in line of agreement with the work of Almaw et al. (2008) who reported quarter level subclinical mastitis with prevalence of 22.8%. Bachaya et al. (2005) reported quarter level subclinical mastitis with prevalence of 44.17% from Pakistan; therefore, this was lower when compared with the report from Pakistan. However, it was more than two fold when compared with work of Getahun et al. (2008) who reported subclinical mastitis with prevalence of 10.1% at quarter level. The higher prevalence of subclinical mastitis both at cow and quarter level in Ethiopia is due to the little attention given for subclinical form of mastitis than clinical mastitis, and efforts have been concentrated on the treatment of clinical cases (Hussein et al., 1997) while the high economic loss could come from subclinical mastitis.

Risk factors

Breed

The higher prevalence of mastitis (60.2%) observed in cross breed cows than in local breeds (23.1%) was in line with the report of Almaw et al. (2008) which might be due to difference in anatomical structure of the teats and difference in genetic resistance to disease (Radostits et al., 2007). When prevalence of clinical mastitis was compared between cross and local breed cows, the prevalence was 12.9 and 3.8% in cross and local breeds, respectively. In line with prevalence of mastitis between breeds in the current study, Almaw et al. (2008) reported occurrence of clinical mastitis in cross breed cows (3.9%) but none in the local breed cows. This can also be associated with difference in milk yield as cows with high milk yield have gene which makes them more susceptible to mastitis (Radostits et al., 2007).

Stage of lactation

Highest prevalence of mastitis (48.4%) was observed in cows at later stage of lactation. It was in agreement with work of Nesru (1999), and Kerro and Tareke (2003) who reported higher prevalence of sub-clinical mastitis for cows in mid and late stage of lactation. However, Mungube et al. (2004) and Biffa et al. (2005) reported higher prevalence of mastitis in early stage of lactation. The variations in the effect of stages of lactation among different studies could be related probably to disparities in age, parity and breed of the sampled animals as indicated by Getahun et al. (2008).

Milk yield

Mastitis was observed at highest prevalence (57.14%) in high yielding cows followed by medium yielding (51.49%) and less prevalent in low yielding (30.77%). The result in the current study was in agreement with the work of Sori et al. (2005) and Lakew et al. (2009) who reported highest prevalence of mastitis in high milk-yielding cows in Asella. The similarity in prevalence of mastitis taking milk yield as a risk factor might be associated with the fact that higher-yielding cows have been found more susceptible to mastitis owing to position of teat and udder and anatomy of teat canal, and such cows have more

susceptible genes making them prone to mastitis (Radostits et al., 2007), and due to less efficacy of phagocytic cells in higher yielding cows associated to dilution (Schalm et al., 1971).

Housing and feeding

The current study indicated that housing system had significant effect on prevalence of mastitis. The highest prevalence (88.9%) was observed in cows kept in very good housing condition and prevalence decreases when the housing condition was getting poor. It was in line with the work of Fadlelmoula et al. (2007b) who reported higher prevalence of mastitis in tie-stall housed cows in Thuringia, Germany. However, Getahun et al. (2008) reported higher prevalence in cows living in poor housing system. Feed had also got significant effect on prevalence of mastitis with more prevalence in cows fed with mixed feeds of different types (52.5%) than cows fed with pasture and hay. Generally, cows in good housing system have less chance to get their udder contaminated and to get mastitis. However, the result in this study might be due to management practice applied to different breeds of cattle. Cross breeds are kept mostly for dairy purpose and are more susceptible; so desire better management, feeding and housing. Therefore, the higher prevalence of mastitis might be due to confounding effect in the managemental practices given for different breeds.

Tick infestation

Higher prevalence was observed in cows infested with tick; but there was no statistically significant difference in prevalence of mastitis between the two groups of cattle. This might be due to seasonal occurrence of ticks in the study area. However, according to Lakew et al. (2009), prevalence of mastitis can be affected by tick infestation of the udder.

Parity

In the current study, as parity increases it had a tendency towards increasing prevalence of mastitis. This is in agreement with the work of Kerro and Tareke (2003), Mungube et al. (2004), Biffa et al. (2005), Getahun et al. (2008) and Lakew et al. (2009). Quinn et al. (1994) have also stated that older cows, especially after four lactations, are more susceptible to mastitis.

Chemical composition of milk

Of all parameters, especially in chemical composition of milk, statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was observed in the mean fat composition among the different mastitic milk. The result of the current study in fat concentration was according to Andrews et al. (2003) who described mastitis as a cause for decrease in fat composition. Coulon et al. (2003) also reported altered fatty acid composition of raw milk due to elevation of somatic cell count of milk caused by mastitis. However, Payne and Wilson (1999) indicated that fat content of milk can vary even between milking of the same cow whether diseased or not. Whether the difference in mean fat composition can be affected by breed was tested; breed was not found as confounding factor affecting fat composition. However, according to McDonald et al. (1995), Andrews et al. (2003) and Radostits et al. (2007) composition of milk can be affected by breed.

Alteration in chemical composition of milk and different degrees of increment or decrement with respect to types of mastitis from the milk collected from normal cows (non-mastitic cows) in the present study is in agreement with different works. It is generally accepted that during mastitis, there is an increase in milk proteins (Auldist and Hubble, 1998) that has been attributed to the influx of blood-borne proteins (such as serum albumin, immunoglobulins) (Auldist et al., 1995; Auldist and Hubble, 1998), the minor serum proteins, transferring a-macroglobulin (Auldist and Hubble, 1998) into the milk coupled with a decrease in caseins (Holdaway, 1990). Auldist and Hubble (1998) reported a decrease in fat concentration, but the majority of the authors recorded an increase in total fat content of mastitic milk (Pyorala, 2003). It is well accepted that mastitis causes a decrease in the concentration of milk lactose (Auldist et al., 1995; Auldist and Hubble, 1998). The ionic content of milk varies markedly from that of extracellular fluid which batches the acini of the mammary gland. Milk contains a high concentration of potassium relative to sodium, the later being actively removed from the secretary cells by an energy dependant ATPase, which is located at the baso-lateral surface of the cell (Holdaway, 1990).

Conclusion

The study indicated mastitis as important disease for the dairy industry in the study area. Breed, milk yield, housing and feeding was important risk factors precipitating occurrence of mastitis. Of the two types of mastitis, subclinical mastitis was observed at higher prevalence. Mastitis was not as such an important cause for deterioration of chemical composition of milk in the study area. Therefore, individuals, and governmental and nongovernmental institutes working on dairy production should give emphasis on control of mastitis. Furthermore, improvement of milk production by providing crossbred

heifers with systemic mastitis control and prevention is very important. Even though the current and previous studies indicated importance of mastitis, the economic impact is not well addressed; therefore, further study involving different risk factors, economic impact and ways to improve milk production of local breeds by overcoming risk factors other than breed should be conducted in the study area.

REFERENCES

Abaineh D, Sintayehu A (2001). Treatment trial of subclinical mastitis with the herb *Persicaria senegalense*. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 33:511-519.

Almaw G, Molla M, Melaku A (2009). Prevalence of bovine subclinical mastitis in Gondar town and surrounding areas, Ethiopia. Livestock Research for Rural Development 21:1-7.

Almaw G, Zerihun A, Asfaw Y (2008). Bovine mastitis and its association with selected risk factors in smallholder dairy farms in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Anim. Health Prod. 40:427-432.

Andrews AH, Blowey RW, Boyd H, Eddy RG (2003). Bovine Medicine: Diseases and Husbandry of Cattle. Blackwell Publishing, Victoria, pp. 427-432.

Auldist MJ, Hubble IB (1998). Effects of mastitis on raw milk and dairy products. The Australian J. Dairy Technol. 53:28-36.

Auldist MJ, Coats S, Rogers GL, McDowell GH (1995). Changes in the composition of milk from healthy and mastitic dairy cows during the lactation cycle. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 35:427-436.

Azage T, Tsehay R, Alemu G, Hizkias H (2001). Milk recording and herd registration in Ethiopia, In Proceedings of the 8th Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP), Addis Ababa, 2000, Ethiopia. pp. 90-104.

Bachaya HA, Iqbal Z, Jabbar A, Abbas RZ, Ali R (2005). Subclinical bovine mastitis in Attock district of Punjab (Pakistan). Int. J. Agric. Biol. 12:777-780.

Battaglia RA (2007). Handbook of Livestock Management. Pearson Prentice Hall, New Jersey, pp. 210-211.

Biffa D, Debela E, Beyene F (2005). Prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in lactating dairy cows in Southern Ethiopia. Int. J. Appl. Res. Vet. Med. 3:189-198.

Bishi AB (1998). Cross-sectional and longitudinal prospective study of bovine clinical and subclinical mastitis in peri-urban and urban dairy production systems in Addis Ababa Region, (unpublished MSc Thesis, Addis University).

Bitew M, Tarefe A, Tolasa T (2010). Study on Bovine Farms of Bahir Dar and its Environs. J. Anim. Vet. Adv. 9:2912-2917.

Coulon JB, Gasqui P, Barnouin J, Ollier A, Pradel P, Pomiès D (2003). Effect of mastitis and related-germ on milk yield and composition during naturally occurring udder infections in dairy cows. Anim. Res. 51:383-393.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (1990). The Technology of Milk Products in Developing Countries. Anim. Prod. Health Papers 85:9-24.

Fadlelmoula A, Fahr RD, Anacker G, Swalve HH (2007a). The management practices associated with prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in large scale dairy farms in Thuringia-Germany: i: environmental factors associated with prevalence of mastitis. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 1:619-624.

Fadlelmoula AA, Anacker G., Fahr RD, Swalve HH (2007b). The management practices associated with prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in large scale dairy farms in Thuringia, Germany: ii-management and hygienic. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 1:751-755.

Getahun K, Kelay B, Bekana M, Lobago F (2008). Bovine mastitis and antibiotic resistance patterns in Selalle smallholder dairy farms, central Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 40:261-268.

Gianneechini R, Concha C, Rivero R, Delucci I, López M.J (2002). Occurrence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis in dairy herds in the West Littoral Region in Uruguay. Acta. Vet. Scand. 43:221.

Hangzhou Ultrasun Technologies Co Ltd. (2010). Ultramilker Company.

Holdaway RJ (1990). A comparison of methods for the diagnosis of bovine subclinical mastitis within New Zealand dairy herds. Thesis (PhD). Massey University.

Hussein N, Yehualashet T, Tilahun G (1997). Prevalence of mastitis in different local and exotic breeds of milking cows. Eth. Jour. Agr. Sci. 16 :53-60.

IDF (1987). Bovine mastitis, Definition and Guidelines for Diagnosis, Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation. pp. 211.

Javaid SB, Gadahi JA, Khaskeli M, Bhutto MB, Kumbher S, Panhwar AH (2009). Physical and chemical quality of market milk sold at Tandojam, Pakistan. Pakistan Vet. J. 29:27-31.

Kerro DO, Tareke F (2003). Bovine mastitis in selected areas of Southern Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 35: 197-205.

Klaas IC (2000). Untersuchungen zum Auftreten von Mastitiden und zur Tiergesundheit in 15 Milchviehbetrieben Schleswig-Holsteins. Dissertation, Free University-Berlin.

Klastrup NO, Halliwell RW (1997). Prevalence of Bovine Sub-clinical Mastitis in Malawi. Nordisk Veterinaermedicin 29:331-336.

Lakew M, Tolasa T, Tigre W (2009). Prevalence and major bacterial causes of bovine mastitis in Asella, South Eastern Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 41:1525-1530.

Lemma M, Kassa L, Tegegne A (2001). Clinically manifested major health problems of crossbred dairy herds in urban and periurban production systems in the Central Highlands of Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 39:85-93.

McDonald P, Edwards RA, Greenhalgh JFD, Morgan CA (1995). Animal Nutrition. Longman and Scientific and Techinical Copublished, New York, pp. 384.

Mekibeb B, Furgasa M, Abunna F, Megersa B, Regassa A (2010). Bovine mastitis: prevalence, risk factors and major pathogens in dairy farms of Holeta town, Central Ethiopia. Vet. World 3:397-403.

Mungube EO (2001). Management and economics of dairy cow mastitis in the urban and peri-urban areas of Addis Ababa milk shed, (unpublished MSc Thesis, Addis Ababa University).

Mungube EO, Tenhagen BA, Kassa T, Regassa F, Kyule MN, Greiner M, Baumann MPO (2004). Risk factors for dairy cow mastitis in central highlands of Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 36:463-472.

Nesru H (1999). A cross-sectional and longitudinal study of bovine mastitis in urban and peri urban dairy system in the Addis Ababa Region, (unpublished MSc Thesis, Free University of Berlin and Addis Ababa University).

Payne JA, Wilson RA (1999). An introduction to animal husbandry in the tropics. Black well Science, Ames, pp. 63-178.

Pyorala S (2003). Indicators of inflammation in the diagnosis of mastitis. Vet. Res. 34:565-578.

Quinn PJ, Markey BK, Carter ME, Donnelly WJC, Leonard FC (1994). Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease, Blackwell Science, London, pp. 465-473.

Radostits OM, Gray CG, Hinchcliff KW, Constable PD (2007). Veterinary Medicine: A Text Book of the Disease of Cattle, Horses, Sheep, Pigs and Goats. Saunders Elsevier, London, pp. 673-749.

Schalm DW, Carroll EJ, Jain C (1971). Bovine Mastitis. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, pp. 20-158.

Seegers H, Fourichon C, Beaudeau F (2003). Production effects rated to mastitis and mastitis eonomics in dairy cattle herds. Vet. Res. 34:475-491.

Sori H, Ademe Z, Sintayehu A (2005). Dairy cattle mastitis in and around Sebeta, Ethiopia. Intern. J. Appl. Vet. Med. 3:1525-1530.

Wallenberg GJ, Vanderpoel HM, Vanior JT (2002). Viral infection and bovine mastitis. J. Vet. Micro. 88:27-45.

Workineh S, Bayleyeng M, Mekonnen H, Potgieter LND (2002). Prevalence and etiology of mastitis in cows from two major Ethiopian dairies. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 34:19-25.