**In vitro** anthelmintic activity of *Leonotis nepetifolia* ethanolic and aqueous leaf extracts on *Fasciola gigantica*

Francis Ssenkuba¹, Cleophas Komujuni² and Julius Tumusiime¹,³

¹Department of Biology, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Uganda.
²Department of Chemistry, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Uganda.
³Department of Animal Ecology and Systematics, Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Uganda.

Received 26 September, 2022; Accepted 2 December, 2022

**INTRODUCTION**

Fasciola species, also known as liver flukes, are among the most important trematode species transmitted mainly by consuming vegetation or water containing metacercariae (Sumbria and Singla, 2020). Specific
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freshwater snail species are important in the life cycle of the Fasciola flukes. These parasites are an important cause of fascioliasis that infects both livestock and wild animals and has a worldwide distribution (World Health Organization, 2010). The WHO recognises fascioliasis as an emerging human health threat with 2.4 to 17 million people infected and more than 90 million people at risk of infection (Anuracpreeda et al., 2017). Fascioliasis is also recognised as a human neglected tropical disease (WHO, 2010). It is estimated that worldwide, more than 300 million cattle are exposed to Fasciola spp. causing an estimated loss of over US$3.2 billion per annum in animal productivity (Olaechea, 2004; Joan et al., 2015). Fascioliasis leads to a decrease in weight gain, milk yield and fertility as well as the high condemnation of infected livers at slaughter areas (Malati et al., 2020).

In East Africa, Fasciola gigantica is the main cause of fascioliasis (Malati et al., 2020; Walker et al., 2008). However, F. hepatica was reported in cattle from highland areas within the region (Walker et al., 2008). Fasciola hepatica has also been reported to coexist with F. gigantica in Ethiopia and Tanzania (Malati et al., 2020). In Uganda, reports show the prevalence of Fasciola spp. in highland areas at 78% and lowland areas at 65% (Joan et al., 2015b). The study further reports a prevalence of 73.3% F. gigantica and 5.68% F. hepatica in Kampala abattoir causing an estimated loss of over 231 billion Uganda shillings (92.5 US Dollars) annually on the condemned liver at the abattoir.

Control of fascioliasis is largely dependent on chemotherapy with triclabendazole, closantel, clorsulon and albendazole being the drug of choice (Alvarez-Sanchez et al., 2006; Fairweather et al., 2020). The drug triclabendazole has been reported to be the most effective therapeutic agent for Fasciola spp. control on the market, capable of killing all life stages of the liver fluke (Castro-Hermida et al., 2021). Due to this factor, triclabendazole has been heavily used which has led to the increased spread of resistant fluke populations (Kelley et al., 2016; Fairweather et al., 2020). Albendazole and clorsulon have high efficacy against mature flukes though low efficacy by albendazole and moderate efficacy by clorsulon against immature forms (Alvarez-Sanchez et al., 2006; Rehbein and Visser, 1999; Castro-Hermida et al., 2021). Albendazole and rafoxanide-resistant fluke strains have also been reported in fluke populations isolated from cattle in Egypt (Shokier et al., 2013). Though clorsulon-resistant fluke strains have not been reported, a reduction in the efficacy of the drug against triclabendazole-resistant strains has been reported (Alvarez-Sanchez et al., 2006; Coles and Stafford, 2001). It is predicted that if eradication of anthelmintic resistance is difficult for gastrointestinal nematode parasites, for liver flukes it will even be more difficult (Borgsteede et al., 2005; Kaur et al., 2015; Pawar et al., 2019). These synthetic anthelmintic drug residues have also been reported in livestock products. The high cost of purchasing synthetic drugs makes them unaffordable to low-income farmers in developing countries (Waller, 1997). Research into reliable and cost-effective alternative therapeutics that are less toxic to humans is critically needed (Eguale et al., 2007). There is growing interest in medicinal plants as safer and sustainable alternative sources of anthelmintic agents (Tariq et al., 2009). Compared to synthetic medicines, plant herbs are often considered to be harmless and served as a rich source of numerous novel biologically active compounds (Nasma et al., 2018; Goel et al., 2020 a, b).

The use of herbal remedies has been highly adopted by African countries with about 80% of the population relying on them for their primary health care (Boadu and Asase, 2017; Simoben et al., 2018). The WHO reported that about 60% of Ugandans rely on the use of herbal medicine (WHO 2013). Leonotis nepetifolia (lion’s ear) is a wild herbaceous plant belonging to the mint family (Family Lamiaceae) (Imran et al., 2012). An ethnobotanical survey carried out in Rukungiri district, Southwestern Uganda revealed L. nepetifolia among the most commonly used herbal plant in the treatment of common diseases (Gumisiriza et al., 2021). L. nepetifolia aqueous and ethanolic anthelmintic activity was reported against Pheretima posthumain (Indian earthworm) (Vasuki et al., 2017). While P. posthumain is an annelid and not a helminth sensu stricto, the bioactivity of an extract against these organisms gives preliminary information about the possibility of their anthelmintic activity. L. nepetifolia was also been reported to reduce gastrointestinal egg counts in faecal samples of goats by 78% in combination with Vernonia amygdalina (Mbanzamihigo et al., 2013). L. nepetifolia is a promising anthelmintic alternative to the synthetic anthelmintic therapeutics on market. In this paper, we evaluate the in vitro anthelmintic activity of L. nepetifolia against F. gigantica.

This study investigated the presence of the anthelmintic phytochemicals in the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of L. nepetifolia dry leaves and their activity on F. gigantica, and estimated the lethal dosage for killing 50 and 90% of the helminths by plant extracts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of plant materials

L. nepetifolia were collected from the riverbanks of Rwaminyuri stream at grid reference N00° 54.595'E031° 46.434' (GPS Essentials Version 4.4.64) in Kiboga District, Central Uganda. The plant was identified using morphological characteristics (Giang et al., 2021). The plant leaves were collected from 50 L. nepetifolia plants by cutting the leaf stalks using a knife in the evening and samples were collected from only the plants at the flowering stage due to the
Preparation of aqueous extracts

The leaves of *L. nepetifolia* were dried under shade and crushed in a mortar to form a coarse powder. *L. nepetifolia* aqueous extract was prepared by infusion method where 500 g of the coarse powder of *L. nepetifolia* leaves were macerated in 5 L of hot deionized water for 15 min (Balamurugan et al., 2019). The solution was first filtered using a muslin cloth and the filtrate was filtered again using Whatman number one filter paper. The filtrate was concentrated in a rotary evaporator under a vacuum at 55°C for 6 h and lyophilized overnight to dryness using a freeze drier under a vacuum.

Preparation of ethanolic extracts

The extraction was done using cold maceration where 250 g of *L. nepetifolia* leaf powder was mixed with 2 L of 70% ethanol for 32 h (Balamurugan et al., 2019). The solution was agitated frequently every after 8 h, to increase the extraction yield (Azmir et al., 2013). Excess ethanol was evaporated off using the counter-current method of drying. Only 2 g of the resultant sample extract was dissolved in 10 ml of distilled water to make solutions for phytochemical screening.

Each extract was weighed (dry mass – DM) on a digital balance. The yield extract (EY), was calculated in percentage using the following formula (dos Santos Nascimento e et al., 2017):

\[
\text{EY} (\%) = \frac{\text{DM}}{\text{PM}} \times 100
\]

where PM represents the plant material used for extraction.

Phytochemical screening and quantification of the major anthelminthic phytochemicals

The aqueous and ethanolic extracts were qualitatively phytochemically analyzed using standard methods described by Balamurugan et al. (2019) for the presence of alkaloids (Dragendorff’s test), flavonoids (10% Lead acetate test), phenols (Gelatin test), quinones (Alcoholic KOH test), saponins (Foam test), steroids (chloroform and conc. Sulphuric acid), tannin (ferric chloride test), glycosides (Glacial acetic acid, ferric chloride and conc. Sulphuric acid), and polyphenols (Lead acetate test). Quantification of phytochemicals was done using standard methods.

The total tannin content was determined following the Folin-Denis standard method using a UV spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 726 nm (Katoch, 2011). 5 ml of Folin-Denis reagent and 10 ml of a sodium carbonate solution were added to 100 ml volumetric flasks containing 1 ml test solutions of 1 mg/ml concentration. The resultant solutions were filled up to the mark with distilled water and shaken then left to settle for 30 min. The absorbances were read at a wavelength of 700 nm using a Jenway UV-VIS spectrophotometer. The calibration curve was prepared using absorbances of varying dilutions of 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 mg/ml standard tannic acid stock solution. The results were expressed in mg of tannic acid equivalent per g.

The total flavonoid content was determined spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 430 nm employing the aluminium chloride method with little modification as described by Quettier-Deleu et al. (2000). To 1 ml of the extract solution, 1 ml of 2% methanolic aluminium chloride, 6 H₂O solutions was added. The solutions were left to settle for 10 min. The absorbances of the resultant solutions were determined using a Jenway UV-VIS spectrophotometer at 430 nm. The calibration curve was prepared using absorbances of varying dilutions of 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 mg/ml standard rutin solution. The results were expressed in mg of rutin per g dry matter.

The total Saponin content was determined spectrophotometrically using a vanillin-sulphuric acid assay at wavelength 543 nm (Hiai and Oura, 1976). 0.5 ml of 8% (W/V) vanillin solution was added to 1 ml extract solution of 1 mg/ml concentration. 5 ml of 72% (v/v) sulphuric acid was added and the resultant solution was homogenized. The mixture was incubated at 60°C in a water bath followed by cooling in an ice-cold bath for 5 min. The absorbances of the solutions were determined using a Jenway UV-VIS spectrophotometer at 550 nm. The calibration curve was prepared using absorbances of varying dilutions of 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 ml Diosgenin. The total saponin content was measured in mg Diosgenin equivalent per gram of extract. All the phytochemicals quantified were measured in triplicates. Only tannin, flavonoids and saponin in the plant extracts were quantified because these phytochemicals have been reported by several studies as being common and in high concentrations, in plant extracts with anthelmintic activity hence they are the major anthelmintic agents (Ndjonka et al., 2011, 2014; Samje et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2019).

Collection of *F. gigantica*

*F. gigantica* flukes were collected from the infected livers of cattle at the Mbarara City abattoir in Ruti, western Uganda (S00°37.253'E030°39.589' (GPS Essentials Version 4.4.64)). The specimen were identified by examining the morphological appearance and measuring the body dimensions (Joan et al., 2015a). The flukes were put in flasks containing warm (30-35°C) normal saline – 5% glucose solution and transported to the laboratory where they were maintained in an incubator at 37°C in normal saline solution (Goswami et al., 2011).

Evaluation of anthelmintic activity

A total of 210 *F. gigantica* of relatively the same size were selected randomly and considered for the whole study. Treatment solutions were prepared by dissolving 0.18, 0.54, and 0.90 g of the extracts in 90 ml of normal saline solution in separate beakers to make treatment solutions of 2, 6 and 10 mg/ml concentrations, respectively. Concentrations were adopted from Ibekew (2019). In the treatment groups, ten flukes were placed in 30 ml of each of the following treatments in beakers. This was performed for both the ethanolic and the aqueous extract in a parallel arrangement. All these treatments were made in triplicates. Flukes in the control group were dipped in normal saline without any treatment solution. All the beakers were then placed in an incubator at 37°C.

Observations were made in time intervals of 0, 2, 4 and 6 h. Death of the fluke was determined by observing their movement. If the fluke did not show any movement when touched by anatomy tweezers, it was dipped in slightly warm water (50°C), and if no movement was observed still, the fluke was considered dead (Dash et al., 2017).

Data analysis

One-way ANOVA test was used to determine the significant difference between the anthelmintic activities caused by different concentrations of the test solutions and the control for normally distributed data while for the non-normally distributed data Kruskal Wallis test was employed. A post hoc Fisher’s LSD test was
performed for the pairwise comparison to determine the significant difference among treatments. Independent samples t-test (two-tailed test) was used to compare the mean percentage mortality caused by the ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *L. nepetifolia*. An independent sample t-test was also employed to compare the mean concentrations of the major anthelmintic phytochemicals in the ethanolic and aqueous plant extracts. The effect of the concentration of each treatment on the mean % mortality of *F. gigantica* was determined using regression analysis. A two-way ANOVA test was carried out to determine the combined effect of time of exposure and concentration of the test solution on the mean percentage mortality of the flukes. All the analysis was performed at 0.05 level of significance.

The LC₅₀ (lethal concentration that causes 50% death) and LC₉₀ (lethal concentration that causes 90% death) for each treatment solution were determined using the probit regression model at 95 level of confidence in SPSS Version 20 (Ibekwe, 2019). The LT₅₀ (lethal time required for a given treatment to cause 50% death) and LT₉₀ (lethal time required for a given treatment to cause 90% death) were determined using the probit regression model. The probit model equation Probit (P) = βlog₁₀(θ) + γ, was employed where β represents the gradient, θ represents time for LT / concentration for LC, and γ represents y-intercept.

**RESULTS**

**Anthelminthic phytochemicals in the aqueous and ethanolic extract of *L. nepetifolia* leaves**

The percentage yield for *L. nepetifolia* aqueous extract was 20.25% while for its ethanolic extract it was 21.29%. Qualitative tests done on leaf extracts of *L. nepetifolia* revealed that the ethanolic extract had plenty of flavonoids, saponins and tannins though alkaloids were moderate. Glycosides were present only in traces. The aqueous extract had plenty of flavonoids, alkaloids, and tannins, moderate saponins and was devoid of glycosides (Table 1).

*L. nepetifolia*’s aqueous extract had a significantly higher concentration of tannin than in its ethanolic extract (t =204.137; p <0.001; df =4); however, the ethanolic extract has significantly higher concentrations of flavonoids and saponin (P<0.001; df=4) (Figure 1).

**Efficacy of ethanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *L. nepetifolia* in killing *F. gigantica***

There was no death in the control group (0.00 mg/ml). The mean percentage mortality caused by all treatments was higher than in the control (p<0.001) except for 2 mg/ml aqueous extract of *L. nepetifolia*.

The mean percentage mortality of the flukes increased with an increase in the concentration of the treatments for both the ethanolic (r²= 0.968, p < 0.001) and the aqueous (r²=0.907, P<0.001) leaf extract of *L. nepetifolia*. Mann Whitney-U test revealed no significant difference between the anthelmintic activity of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts (p = 0.253, N=12), though the aqueous extract (Mean Rank = 14.13) showed slightly higher activity compared to the ethanolic extract (Mean Rank=10.88) after 4 h of exposure. The anthelmintic activity of the aqueous extract was significantly higher than that of the ethanolic extract only at 6 mg/ml (p = 0.043).

For the ethanolic leaf extract of *L. nepetifolia*, there existed a significant difference in its anthelmintic activity between all the concentrations (p<0.001, N=12); hence, showing a dose-dependent manner with 10 mg/ml being the most effective. For the aqueous leaf extract of *L. nepetifolia*, there existed no significant difference between 6 and 10 mg/ml and hence 6 mg/ml was the most effective concentration.

The interaction of concentration and time shows a strong effect in killing *F. gigantica* (F(6)=16.697, p<0.001, Eta squared (η²)=0.807). The highest mortality was caused by 10 mg/ml concentration of the treatments after 6 h of exposure (Mean=86.667(3.191)).

**LC₅₀, LC₉₀ and LT₅₀, LT₉₀**

The lower the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀, the more lethality of the plant extracts to the parasite. Generally, the concentration of different extracts required to kill 50% (LC₅₀) and 90% (LC₉₀) decreases with exposure time. This indicates that the anthelmintic activity of *L. nepetifolia* aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts area time of exposure dependent. The aqueous extract of *L. nepetifolia* leaves shows the lowest LC₅₀ of 0.698 mg/ml and LC₉₀ of 4.435 mg/ml in compulsion with that shown by the ethanolic extract shown in Table 2.

The lower the LT₅₀ and LT₉₀, the more lethality of the plant extracts to the flukes. The time required for different plant extracts to cause 50% (LT₅₀) and 90% (LT₉₀) fluke death decreases with an increase in the dosage of the treatments. This indicates that the anthelmintic activity of *L. nepetifolia* aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts is dose-dependent. The aqueous extract required the shortest time to cause 50 and 90% fluke death for all the treatment dosages considered in this study as shown in Table 3; this confirms that the aqueous leaf extract of *L. nepetifolia* has higher anthelmintic efficacy against *F. gigantica* than the ethanolic extract.

**DISCUSSION**

**Phytochemical screening of *L. nepetifolia* ethanolic and aqueous extracts**

Plant polyphenols and phenolics (secondary metabolites) are widely distributed in the plant kingdom, surprisingly, sometimes in high concentrations (Harbonne, 1993; Khan et al., 2019). The present study reports a rich composition of phytochemicals including polyphenols and phenol in the ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *L. nepetifolia* dry leaves. Polyphenolic and phenolic compounds show
Table 1. Preliminary phytochemical screening of *L. nepetifolia* ethanolic and aqueous leaf extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Reagent/Test</th>
<th>Deduction</th>
<th>Ethanol Extract</th>
<th>Aqueous Extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>Dragerdroff’s test</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Lead acetate (10%)</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>Gelatin test</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>Foam test</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>Chloroform, conc.H₂SO₄</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>Ferric chloride test</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinones</td>
<td>Alcoholic KOH</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Lead acetate (5%)</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>Glacial acetic acid, Ferric chloride, conc.H₂SO₄</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- represents absent; + represents traces; ++ represents moderate; +++ represents plenty.

Source: Author’s 2022

Figure 1. The concentration of major anthelmintic phytochemicals in the ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *L. nepetifolia*. The error bars represent the standard deviation.

Source: Author’s 2022

Table 2. The LC50 and LC90 *L. nepetifolia* aqueous and ethanolic extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of exposure (h)</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
<th>Aqueous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LC50</td>
<td>LC90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.527</td>
<td>55.827</td>
<td>15.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.013</td>
<td>22.522</td>
<td>3.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td>10.264</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s 2022
Anthelmintic activity (Bate-Smith, 1962; Soldera-Silva et al., 2018). For example flavonoids, mainly isoflavones inhibit glycolysis enzymes and disturb calcium homeostasis and nitrous oxide activity hence leading to the death of the parasite (Stepek et al., 2006). Some synthetic phenolic anthelmintics for example niclosamide, oxyclozanide and bithionol are shown to interfere with energy generation in helminth parasites by uncoupling oxidative phosphorylation (Martin, 1997). It is possible that natural phenolic compounds in the extracts of *L. nepetifolia* leaves act in the same way hence the evidence of their anthelmintic activity. Tannins have been reported to interfere with cell membrane glycoprotein and interfering with energy generation by uncoupling oxidative phosphorylation (Roy et al., 2010). Similarly, it has been reported that alkaloids may act on the central nervous system and cause paralysis in worms (Roy et al., 2010). Several studies have reported steroids and cardiac glycosides to possess anthelmintic potency (Chang and Flores, 2015; Mahardika et al., 2017).

The presence of different phytochemicals in the ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *L. nepetifolia* dry leaves varies greatly. The differences in the presence of different phytochemicals in the extracts is due to difference in the extraction abilities of the solvents used (Bwalya, 2015). Various plant secondary metabolites are soluble in specific extractants depending on the solvent polarity (Cabardo and Portugaliza, 2017). This also explains a reason why different extracts of the same plants have slightly different phytochemicals and varying quantities.

### Anthelmintic activity of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *L. nepetifolia* dry leaves

The mean percentage mortality caused by all treatments was significantly higher than that caused by the control (p<0.001). This may be attributed to the presence of anthelmintic phytochemicals in both the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *L. nepetifolia* leaves which is absent in the control group. However, 2 mg/ml of aqueous leaf extract of *L. nepetifolia* showed no significant difference in its anthelmintic activity with that of the control, this may be attributed to the presence of anthelmintic phytochemicals in low quantities below the lethal dosage that is required to cause significant death of flukes.

The anthelmintic activity of the aqueous extract of *L. nepetifolia* dry leaves was significantly higher than that of its ethanolic extract at 6 mg/ml though there was no significant difference between the anthelmintic activity of the ethanolic and aqueous extract of *L. nepetifolia* dry leaves at 2 and 10 mg/ml. The aqueous extract showed greater activity than the ethanolic extract (Figure 2) because it is richer in phenolic compounds which have anthelmintic properties; for example, it has plenty of alkaloids which are moderate in the ethanolic extract (Table 1). Alkaloids have demonstrated anthelmintic potency against blood flukes (*Schistosoma mansoni*) (Wangchuk et al., 2016; Perrett and Whitfield, 1995). Alkaloids act on the central nervous system of the parasite causing paralysis and eventually death of the worms (Manke et al., 2015), this is due to the fact that alkaloid salts compete with acetylcholine for the same binding site preventing acetylcholine from binding (Tarnopolsky and Beal, 2001). This greater anthelmintic activity of the aqueous extract may also be attributed to the presence of significantly higher tannin content in the aqueous extract than in the ethanolic extract (p < 0.001, N=3). Tannins have been reported to have an anthelmintic effect against helminths such as *Ascaris suum* (Williams et al., 2014). Tannins are found of forming tannin-protein complexes with helminthic parasites that interfere with physiological processes necessary for the survival of these parasites for example tannin-nematode protein complexes have been reported to alter feeding, motility, fecundity, and a range of enzyme-mediated biochemical functions in nematodes (Hoste and Quijada, 2016; Hansen et al., 2016). Tannins such as proanthocyanidins cause direct physical damage on the external and internal parasite structures; hence, leading to death (Hoste and Karla, 2011). Proanthocyanidins have also demonstrated the ability to inhibit glutathione-S-transferases enzymes which are essential for parasite detoxification and also contribute to parasitic mortality (Hansen et al., 2016). This maybe the same way it affects *Fasciola gigantica* in the present study. Quinones and their derivatives are reported to

### Table 3. The LT50 and LT90 *L. nepetifolia* aqueous and ethanolic extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Ethanolic</th>
<th>Aqueous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LT50</td>
<td>LT90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.424</td>
<td>17.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>8.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.673</td>
<td>7.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author's 2022
have anthelmintic activity against *Taxocara canis* larvae in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* evaluation (Mata-Santos et al., 2016). From the findings of this study, the aqueous dry leaf extract of *L. nepetifolia* was found to contain plenty of quinones which were in traces in its ethanolic extract; this probably may also be the reason why the aqueous extract has a greater anthelmintic activity than the ethanolic extract.

On the other hand, saponins are also reported to have anthelmintic activity due to their ability to form complexes with the parasitic cell membrane components hence forming membrane pores that alter the cell permeability of the parasites hence contributing to their death (Santos et al., 2018). In this study, saponins were found significantly higher in the ethanolic extract than in the aqueous extract, though in contrast, the aqueous extract showed greater activity than the ethanolic extract. This may be attributed to a stronger anthelmintic efficacy of tannins to saponins as reported by a review on the anthelmintic activity of plants against gastrointestinal nematodes of goats by Santos et al. (2019).

The plant secondary metabolites can act individually however their combined interactions have been reported to be more potent (Kaufman et al., 1998). The combinations of these metabolites may offer additive, synergistic or antagonistic anthelmintic activity at a single or multiple target sites (Wynn and Fougère, 2006). Combinations of condensed tannins and flavonoids are found of showing an anthelmintic synergistic effect (Klongsiriwet et al., 2015). In this study, tannins and flavonoids were found to be in comparatively equal amounts in the aqueous extract (Figure 1) hence synergism which may also be contributing to a higher anthelmintic efficacy of the aqueous extract.

Generally, the concentration of different extracts required to kill 50% (LC$_{50}$) and 90% (LC$_{90}$) decreases with the time of exposure (Table 2). This indicates that the efficacy of the plant extracts in killing *F. gigantica* is dependent on the time of exposure. This may be due to the uptake of the bioactive phytochemicals from the extract which increases in the worms' body with the time of exposure hence an increase in the anthelmintic activity.

The time required for different plant extracts to cause 50% (LT$_{50}$) and 90% (LT$_{90}$) fluke death decreases with an increase in the dosage of the treatments (Table 3). This indicates that the anthelmintic efficacy of the plant extracts works in a dose-dependent manner, a similar observation was made by Vasuki et al. (2017) when treating *Pheretima posthuma* using the aqueous and ethanolic whole plant extract, this maybe attributed to the fact that an increase in the concentration of the extract increases the amounts of the bioactive substances to which the flukes are exposed hence increasing worm mortality.

**Conclusion**

Both ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *L. nepetifolia* contain anthelmintic phytochemicals. The aqueous extract of *L. nepetifolia* dry leaves is more effective in killing *F.*
gigantica compared to its ethanolic extract with 6 mg/ml concentration being its most effective concentration while 10 mg/ml concentration is the most effective for its ethanolic extract. The anthelmintic activity of L. nepetifolia dry leaves is concentration and time of exposure dependent. Further studies are required to examine its in vivo activity and the mode of action of its phytochemicals against F. gigantica at a molecular level. Also, further studies are required to isolate and elucidate the structure of the anthelmintic bioactive molecules in L. nepetifolia.
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