Full Length Research Paper

# Drought adaptation ecophysiological mechanisms of two annual legumes on semi- arid Mediterranean grassland

# Maria Karatassiou\*, Bassil Noitsakis and Zoi Koukoura

Laboratory of Range Science, School of Forestry and Natural Environment, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki Greece.

# Accepted 16 April, 2009

The drought adaptation of two annual legumes, *Medicago minima* (L.) Bartal and *Onobrychis aequidentata* (Sibth and Sm) D'Urv., was studied at the  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  efficient use level over the drought period investigating whether, besides the already known drought adaptation mechanism, annual legumes are dealing with drought by controlling stomatal and mesophyll conductance. *O. aequidentata* maintained higher leaf water potential and leaf hydraulic conductance than *M. minima* and consequently exhibited better water balance. The data revealed that these two legumes exhibit a completely different photosynthetic behavior. The photosynthetic rate in *M. minima* is mainly depended on stomatal conductance while in *O. aequidentata* seems to be depended both on stomatal and mesophyll conductance. *O. aequidentata* seems to be more efficient having significantly lower values of the ratio internal  $CO_2$  concentration to stomatal conductance at the same value of leaf water potential compared to *M. minima*. This verifies the higher values of mesophyll conductance and consequently the higher values of carboxylation efficiency. Each one of the two species has different traits to cope with the adverse environmental conditions. The differentiation of drought adaptation mechanisms seems to be a major key for co-existence of several plants of the same life form in Mediterranean grasslands.

**Key words:** Photosynthesis, transpiration, stomatal conductance, mesophyll conductance, carboxylation efficiency, *Medicago minima, Onobrychis aequidentata*.

# INTRODUCTION

Arid and semi-arid Mediterranean grasslands are very rich in annual species (Koukoura, 2007). Their existence is usually associated with ruderal environments undergoing human disturbance and long summer drought (Jackson and Roy, 1986). Among annual species, several genera of legumes such as *Medicago*, *Trifolium* and *Onobrychis* predominate in the Mediterranean region (Tutin et al., 1968; Mrfat-Vukelic et al., 2000; Koukoura, 2001) and present high genetic diversity (Acar et al., 2001; Fresnillo Fedorenko, 2001). Legumes in general are desirable for introduction to natural pastures due to their ability to produce high quality forage production and to increase nitrogen fixation (Pérez-Corona et al., 1998).

Drought conditions are considered to be the most important environmental factor of plant survival in semi-arid Mediterranean grasslands. In arid areas, annual plants combine a relatively short life cycle with a high growth rate during the wet season to avoid drought, adjusting sink/source allocation by increasing root growth and by decreasing leaf area (Rivero et al., 2007).

Traditionally annual plant species have been considered to be stress avoiders by escaping unfavourable season in the form of dormant seeds. However, plant response to stress is a continuum from stress avoidance to stress tolerance, the latter being more expressed in slowly growing perennial plants (Gurevitch et al., 2002;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: karatass@for.auth.gr. or karatassiou@hotmail.com. Tel.: +302310998936. Fax: +302310998886



**Figure 1.** Midday photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) (filled symbols) and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) (empty symbols) during the period of measurements. Values present means  $\pm$  SE of five replicates.

Chaves et al., 2003). The maintenance of favourable internal water status and plant functions at low leaf water potential is the main physiological process that contributes to the maintenance of high production under drought periods (Blum, 1996).

In field conditions, there is a positive relationship between water use and dry matter production (Philipp et al., 2007). Water use efficiency (WUE) is a very important index of the relationship between water consumption and biomass production (Wang et al., 2007) relating two physiological parameters: the photosynthetic rate (A) and the transpiration rate (E) (Bacon, 2004). The photosynthetic rate, which is responsible for dry matter production, is regulated by stomatal (g<sub>s</sub>) and mesophyll conductance (g<sub>m</sub>). The transpiration rate is predominantly controlled by g<sub>s</sub> at a given vapour pressure difference. These two parameters  $(g_s, g_m)$  are very important as far as improvements in WUE are concerned (Udayakumar et al., 1998). Due to difficulties to determine g<sub>m</sub>, Sheshshayee et al. (1996) proposed the ratio internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to stomatal conductance  $(C_i/g_s)$  to be used as a good reflection of the mesophyll efficiency for carboxylation. So, carboxylation efficiency (CE) seems to be directly related to g<sub>m</sub> but at the same time might be indirectly related to g<sub>s</sub> (Guo et al., 2006).

It is well known that annual legumes such as *Medicago minima* (L.) Bartal and *Onobrychis aequidentata* (Sibth and Sm) D'Urv., frequently present in arid and semi-arid grasslands and become domimant species under conditions of aridity or overgrazing (Merou and Papanastasis, 2000; Mrfat-Vukelic et al., 2000; Fresnillo Fedorenko, 2001). These species exhibit an efficient water use to confront drought periods by completing their life cycle, before severe soil and plant leaf water deficit is established. This drought mechanism is realized using two kinds of adaptations; (a) rapid phenological develop-ment and (b) developmental plasticity (Gurevich et al., 2002). However, whether the above annual species, beside the drought avoidance mechanism have the ability of  $H_2O$ and  $CO_2$  efficient use controlling the  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  is not known.

This paper aimed firstly to investigate whether the two annual legumes *M. minima* and *O. aequidentata* differ at the  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  efficient use level over the drought period and secondly, whether besides the already known drought adaptation mechanism, annual legumes also exhibit physiological mechanisms, dealing with drought by controlling  $g_s$  and  $g_m$ .

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study area and climate

The research was conducted in 1994 at low elevation Mediterranean grassland in Northern Greece. The experimental area (40° 58N, 28° 01E, altitude 170 m a.s.l), located 25 km north-east from Thessaloniki, was mainly consisting of forage species and few patches of shrubs such as *Pyrus amygdaliformis* Vilm, *Crataegus oxycantha* and *Jasminum fruticans* L.

The climate of the area is characterized as Mediterranean semiarid. The mean annual air temperature ranged from  $4.4 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  (January) to 24.7  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  (August) and the average annual precipitation was 409 mm (1983 - 1993). Changes in microclimatic parameters photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) were obtained during the period of measurements (Figure 1). The values of PPFD and VPD given are averages of five measurements that have been taken on the date and time that plant physiological parameters were measured (see below).

#### Physiological measurements

Leaf water potential ( $\Psi$ ), relative water content (RWC), net photosynthetic rate (A), transpiration rate (E) and stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  were measured in the two annual legumes *M. minima* and *O.* aequidentata. These wild annual species were chosen because they are important, high quality forage species during spring and early summer in the low elevation Mediterranean grasslands. Details on the morphological characteristics of the above two legumes are given by Tutin et al. (1968). Seasonal measurements were obtained on clear sunny days around solar noon (12.00 -14.00 h) at approximately 15 days intervals. Also, the diurnal pattern of leaf water potential and transpiration rate were measured on three phenological stages (early, vegetative, reproductive) and were taken on a single day every 3 h from 06.00 - 17.30 h local time. All measurements were obtained on mature and intact fully expanded upper leaves. For each parameter, the values presented are averages of five plants. Approximately 10 days after fruit maturation the aerial parts of *M. minima* and *O. aequidentata* had been completing the biological life cycle.

Leaf water potential, in both species, was measured using the pressure chamber technique (Koide et al., 1991). The RWC was determined on 4 mm discs from leaves similar in age and orientation, and from the same plant to those used for the  $\Psi$  determination. Leaf discs were transferred to the laboratory and their fresh weight (FW) was determined in a saturated atmosphere. Then, leaf discs were floated in distilled water at 4 °C for 12 h until reaching a constant weight. Leaf discs were weighed and this weight was taken as saturated weight (SW). The same samples were dried at 70 °C for 48 h and then reweighed. The new weight was taken as dry weight (DW). The RWC was calculated by the following formula: RWC = [(FW – DW) / (SW - DW)] x100 (lannucci et al., 2002).

Furthermore, at the same time A, E,  $g_s$  and internal  $CO_2$  concentration (C<sub>i</sub>) were measured with the portable infrared gas analyser system LI-6200 (LI-COR Lincoln, NE). We also calculated (a) the apparent carboxylation efficiency (CE) by using the formula:  $CE = A/(C_i)$  (Flexas et al., 2001), (b) the ratio  $C_i/g_s$  to obtain the mesophyll efficiency (Sheshshayee et al., 1996), and (c) the leaf hydraulic conductance (K<sub>L</sub>) according to Hubbard et al. (2001).

#### Statistical analysis

To determine differences in the ecophysiological responses of the two species (first factor) during the growing season (second factor) we performed a two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on all parameters studied (Steel and Torrie, 1980). Regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between  $C_i/g_s$  and  $\Psi$  for both species; t-test was applied to compare two means.

Moreover, correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between A,  $g_s$  and Ci/ $g_s$ . All analyses were performed using the SPSS (16) statistical package.

# RESULTS

Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density and VPD during the experimental period ranged from approximately 654 (first

day of the measurements) to 1738  $\mu$ mol.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> (last day of the measurements) and from 1.2 to 3.65 kPa respectively (Figure 1).

The two way ANOVA reveals significant differences (F  $\ge$  37.23, df = 1, all P  $\le$  0.0001) between the two species for all tested parameters (that is, A, E, gs,  $\Psi$ , C<sub>i</sub>/g<sub>s</sub> and CE). Also, the time of the season significantly affected (F  $\ge$  57.01, df = 3, all P  $\le$  0.0001) all parameters measured. The interaction between time of the season and species was significant for all parameters above (F  $\ge$  13.06, df = 3, all P  $\le$  0.0001), indicating differential physiological response of the two species throughout the season.

Ψ showed a declining trend (gradual at the beginning and steeper at the end) during the season in both species (Figure 2). However, *O. aequidentata* expressed significantly higher values of Ψ as well as higher K<sub>L</sub> (Figure 3) compared with *M. minima* (F = 7.4 df = 1, P ≤ 0.01). Only at the end of the growing season (last day of the measurements) Ψ values were similar for the two species (t = 1.16, df = 8, P > 0.05) at approximately -1.5 MPa. At the same low value of Ψ, *O. aequidentata* exhibited a higher RWC compared to *M. minima* (Figure 4).

Patterns of E in relation to  $\Psi$  (Figure 5a) were similar for the two species until -1.0 MPa (t ≤ 2.1, df = 8, P > 0.05). Then, E of *O. aequidentata* showed an increasing tendency while it remained relatively stable in M. minima (Figure 5a). At the end of the growing season, O. aequidentata exhibited significantly higher E than M. minima (t = 4.85, df = 8, P  $\leq$  0.05). The pattern of g<sub>s</sub> and A in relation to  $\Psi$  was similar only in *M. minima* (Figure 5b, c). As the growing season proceed and  $\Psi$  apparently decreased gs of M. minima and O. aequidentata increased in relation to  $\Psi$  until -1.0 MPa. Stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate were both higher in O. aeguidentata (Figure 5b, c) throughout the season. There is a positive correlation (r = 0.911, P < 0.001) between A and g<sub>s</sub> for *M. minima* and a negative correlation (r = -0.541, P < 0.01) between A and  $C_i/q_s$  for O. aequidentata.

*O. aequidentata* at the same  $\Psi$  showed lower C<sub>i</sub>/g<sub>s</sub> ratio than *M. minima* (Figure 6) and higher CE than *M. minima* up to -1.0 MPa (Figure 7).

# DISCUSSION

In order to answer the basic questions of our study, we should focus on the following three basic parameters: the  $\Psi$  that expresses the internal water status, the  $g_s$  that controls the E and the A and the  $g_m$  that controls the carboxylation efficiency. The relative water content may reflect the balance between water supply to leaf tissue and transpiration rate through its relationship to cell volume (Garcia et al., 2002). The observed higher seasonal values of  $\Psi$  (Figure 2) and the higher RWC at the same  $\Psi$  in *O. aequidentata* (Figure 4) could be probably attributed to the higher hydraulic conductance, since E



**Figure 2.** Seasonal patterns of leaf water potential ( $\Psi$ ) in *O. aequidentata* (empty symbols) and *M. minima* (filled symbols). Values present means ± SE of five replicates per species.



**Figure 3.** The mean leaf hydraulic conductance ( $K_L$ ) on three phenological stages (a) early (ES), (b) vegetative (VS), and (c) reproductive (RS) of *O. aequidentata* (empty columns) and *M. minima* (filled columns). Values present means ± SE of seven replicates per species.

was higher in *O. aequidentata* compared to *M. minima* (Figure 5a). Indeed, *O. aequidentata* presented higher leaf hydraulic conductance over the growing season compared with that of *M. minima* (Figure 3). The lower values of E in *M. minima* induced by lower values of g<sub>s</sub> (Figure 5a, b) can be attributed to the fact that *M. minima* has the ability to decrease g<sub>s</sub> at high VPD (Figure 1, 5b). The increase of transpiration rate following increase in VPD and gradual decrease (and it's relatively stabilization) at higher VPD is known as feed forward response

(Mott and Parkhurst, 1991; Medrano et al., 2002).

The favourable internal water balance of *O. aequidentata* compared to that of *M. minima* (Figures 2, 4) probably implies a higher photosynthetic capacity (Chaves, 1991) in *O. aequidentata.* In fact, the results (Figure 5c) suggest that this is valid. Two factors probably cause the significant differences in photosynthetic rate between the two annual species:  $g_s$  and/or  $g_m$  well expressed by  $C_i/g_s$ or CE (Sheshshayee et al., 1996) (Figures 6, 7).

In most cases, stomatal conductance follows a pattern



**Figure 4.** Relationship between leaf water potential ( $\Psi$ ) and relative water content (RWC) for *O. aequidentata* (empty symbols) and *M. minima* (filled symbols). Values present means ± SE of five replicates per species.

similar to that of photosynthesis (Quick et al., 1992). This is only the case in *M. minima* (Figure 5b, c, r = 0.911), and it is in agreement with Cornic (2000) who suggests that under field conditions the stomatal closure plays by far the main role in the decline in leaf photosynthesis and that the photosynthetic machinery remains intact thereby allowing the leaf to respond rapidly to changes in environmental water status. Cornic (2000) apparently considers the stomatal conductance as the main regulator of the photosynthetic machinery. The fact that in O. aequiden*tata*, the A and  $g_s$  pattern in relation to  $\Psi$  was not comparable beyond -1.0 MPa (Figures 5 b, c) suggests that under 15% water deficit (Figure 4) the decrease of photosynthetic rate does not keep up with gs and conesquently the role of gs seems to become less important. The observed difference in  $g_s$  (Figure 5b) might explain the relatively higher values of the photosynthetic rate in O. aequidentata at high  $\Psi$ . On the other hand, the higher photosynthetic rate of *O. aequidentata* may be attributed to higher mesophyll efficiency (Loreto et al., 1992; De Lucia et al., 2003), considering that g<sub>m</sub> limits the photosynthetic rate at the same magnitude as gs (Warren et al., 2003).

Thus, the two legumes exhibit a completely different photosynthetic behavior. The photosynthetic rate in *M. minima* is mainly depended on  $g_s$ . This parameter in *O. aequidentata* seems to depend on both  $g_s$  and  $g_m$ . From the productive point of view *O. aequidentata* seems to be

more efficient (Sheshshayee et al., 1996; Karatassiou, 1999; Bindumadhava et al., 2007) having significantly lower values of  $C_i/g_s$  at the same value of  $\Psi$  compared to M. minima (Figure 6), a fact that verifies the higher values of g<sub>m</sub> and consequently the higher values of CE (Figure 7). The significant negative correlation between A and C<sub>i</sub>/g<sub>s</sub> suggests that the A in O. aequidentata is controlled by mesophyll efficiency (Krishna Prasad et al., 1996). It is well known that the plants are divided in photosynthesis stomatal and non stomatal conductance related (Flexas and Medrano, 2002). Udayakumar et al. (1998) takes it one step ahead considering that there are plants depended on stomatal conductance (gs- dependent type) and other plants depended on mesophyll conductance of CO<sub>2</sub> (g<sub>m</sub>- dependent type). According to this classification O. aequidentata seems to belong to the second group.

Consequently, the two annual legumes exhibited different behaviors under the same semi-arid environmental conditions. Our data could not support the existence of physiological mechanisms in *M. minima* to deal with drought conditions. Nevertheless, our data suggest that *O. aequidentata* involves, besides the drought avoidance, physiological mechanisms by controlling  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  that enables it to withstand more efficiently the drought period.

Therefore, some wild annual legumes obviously involve in their ecophysiological process, not only, the known phenological mechanism but also the physiological function of  $g_m$  and  $g_s$  controlling E (water balance), A and



**Figure 5.** Relationship between leaf water potential ( $\Psi$ ) and (a) transpiration rate (E), (b) stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), and (c) photosynthetic rate (A) for *O. aequidentata* (empty symbols) and *M. minima* (filled symbols). Values are means ± SE of five replicates per species.

CE (biomass production), a drought adaptation mechanism which is involved to ecophysiological process of perennial plants. Each one of the two species has differrent traits to cope with the adverse environmental conditions. The differentiation of drought adaptation mechanisms seems to be a major key for co-existence of a number of plants from the same life form in Mediterranean grasslands.



**Figure 6.** Relationship between mesophyll efficiency  $(C_i/g_s)$  and leaf water potential  $(\Psi)$  for *O. aequidentata* (empty symbols) and *M. minima* (filled symbols). Values present means ± SE of five replicates per species



**Figure 7.** Relationship between apparent carboxylation efficiency (CE) and leaf water potential ( $\Psi$ ) for *O. aequidentata* (empty symbols) and *M. minima* (filled symbols). Values present means ± SE of five replicates per species.

#### REFERENCES

- Acar Z, Ayan I, Gulser C (2001). Some morphological and nutritional properties of legumes under natural conditions. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 4(11): 1312-1315.
- Bacon MA (2004). Water use efficiency in plant biology. In: Bacon MA (ed) Water Use Efficiency in Plant Biology, Blackwell Publishing, UK, pp. 1-26.
- Bindumadhava H, Prasad TG, Joshi MK, Sharma N (2007). Assessing the variability in intrinsic water use, mesophyll and carboxylation efficiencies of gibberellin treatead tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) accession using gas exchange approach. Curr. Sci. 93: 291-292.
- Blum A (1996). Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation. Plant Growth Regul. 20: 135-148.
- Chaves MM (1991). Effects of water deficits on carbon assimilation. J. Exp. Bot. 42: 1-16.
- Chaves MM, Maroco JP, Pereira JS (2003). Understanding plant response to drought: from genes to the whole plant. Funct. Plant Biol. 30: 239-264.
- Cornic G (2000). Drought stress inhibits photosynthesis by decreasing stomatal aperture not by affecting ATP synthesis. Trends Plant Sci. 5: 187-188.
- De Lucia EH, Whitehead D, Clearwater MJ (2003). The relative limitation of photosynthesis by mesophyll conductance in cooccurring species in a temperate rainforest dominated by the conifer *Dacrydium cupressinum*. Funct. Plant Biol. 30: 1197-1204.
- Flexas J, Gulías J, Jonasso S, Medrano H, Mus M (2001). Seasonal patterns and control of gas exchange in local populations of the Mediterranean evergreen shrub *Pistacia lentiscus* L. Acta Oecol. 22: 33-43.
- Flexas J, Medrano H (2002). Drought-inhibition of photosynthesis in C<sub>3</sub> plants: Stomatal and Non – stomatal Limitation Revisited. Ann. Bot. 89: 183-189.
- Fresnillo Fedorenko DE (2001). Ten years of ecological research on *Medicago minima* (L.) Bart. In: Delgado I, Lloveras J (eds) Quality in lucerne and medics for animal production. Options Méditerranéennes. 45: 111-114.
- Garcia MG, Busso CA, Polci P, Garcia Girou NL, Echenique V (2002). Water relations and leaf growth rate of three *Agropyron* genotypes under water stress. Biocell. 26: 309-317.
- Guo S, Zhou Y, Song N, Shen Q (2006). Some physiological processes related to water use efficiency of higher plants. Agr. Sci. China 5: 403-411.
- Gurevitch J, Scheiner SM, Fox GA (2002). The Ecology of Plants. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publishers, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- Hubbard RM, Ryan MG, Stiller V, Sperry JS (2001). Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis vary linearly with plant hydraulic conductance in ponderosa pine. Plant Cell Environ. 24: 113-121.
- lannucci A, Russo M, Arena L, Fonzo N, Martiniello P (2002). Water deficits effects on osmotic adjustment and solute accumulation in leaves of annual clovers. Eur. J. Agron. 16: 111-122.
- Jackson LE, Roy J (1986). Growth patterns of Mediterranean annual and perennial grasses under simulated rainfall regimes of southern France and California. Acta Oecol. 7: 191-212.
- Karatassiou M (1999). Ecophysiology of water use efficiency in Mediterranean grasslands. PhD thesis (in Greek), School of Forestry and Natural Environment, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece.
- Koide RT, Robichaux RH, Morse SR, Smith CM (1991). Plant water status, hydraulic resistance and capacitance. In: Pearcy RW, Ehleringer JR, Mooney HA, Rundel RW (eds) Plant Physiological Ecology: Field Methods and Instrumentation, Chapman and Hall pp. 161-183.
- Koukoura Z (2007). Natural Grasslands a Case Study in Greece. In: Petra M, Zdenko R (eds) Nutrient Cycling in Terrestrial Ecosystems, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Part II pp. 257-269.

- Krishna Prasad BT, Sheshshayee MS, Nataraja KN, Shankar AG, Prasad TG, Udaya Kumar M (1996). Regulation of carboxylation by Rubisco content and its efficiency in sunflower and soybean. Curr. Sci. 70: 675-680.
- Loreto F, Harley PC, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD (1992). Estimation of mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> flux by three different methods. Plant Physiol. 98: 1437-1443.
- Medrano H, Escalona JM, Bota J, Gulias J, Flexas J (2002). Regulation of photosynthesis of  $C_3$  plants in response to progressive drought: Stomatal conductance as a reference parameter. Ann. Bot. 89: 895-905.
- Merou T, Papanastasis VP (2000). Germination pattern of certain annual legumes in a sub-humid Mediterranean environment of Macedonia, Greece. In: Sulas L (ed) Legumes for Mediterranean forage crops, pastures and alternative uses. Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes 45: 343-347.
- Mott KA, Parkhurst DF (1991). Stomatal responses to humidity in air and helox. Plant Cell Environ. 14: 509-515.
- Mrfat-Vukelic S, Kojic M, Lugic Z, Ostojic S (2000). Survey of submediterranean leguminous species in Serbian flora. In: Sulas L (ed) Legumes for Mediterranean forage crops, pastures and alternative uses. Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes 45: 47-50.
- Pérez-Corona M E, Vázquez de Aldana BR, García-Criado B, García-Ciudad A (1998). Variations in nutritional quality and biomass production of semi-arid grasslands. J. Range Manage. 51: 570-576.
- Philipp D, Allen VG, Lascano RJ, Brown CP, Wester DB (2007). Production and water use efficiency of three old world bluestems. Crop Sci. 47: 787-794.
- Quick WP, Chaves MM, Wendler R, David M, Rodrigues ML, Passaharinho JA, Pereira, JS, Adcock MD, Leegood RC, Stitt M (1992). The effect of water stress on photosynthetic carbon metabolism in four species grown under field conditions. Plant Cell Environ. 15: 25-35.
- Rivero RM, Kojima M, Gepstein A, Sakakibara H, Mittler H, Gepstein S, Blumwald E (2007). Delayer leaf senescence induces extreme drought tolerance in a flowering plant. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104: 19631-19636.
- Sheshshayee MS, Krishnaprasad BT, Nataraj KS, Prasad TG, Shanker AG, Udayakumar M (1996). Ratio of intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to stomatal conductance is a reflection of mesophyll efficiency. Curr. Sci. 70: 671- 674.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics.  $2^{nd}$  Edition McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA (1968). Flora Europaea Volume 2 Rosaceae to Umbelliferae, Cambridge University Press, p. 469.
- Udayakumar M, Sheshshayee MS, Nataraj KN, Bindu Madhava H, Devendra R, Aftab Hussain IS, Prasad TG (1998). Why has breeding for water use efficiency not been successful? An analysis and alternate approach to exploit this trait for crop improvement. Curr. Sci. 74: 994-1000.
- Wang J, Shi Q, Zhang J (2007). Photosynthesis and water use efficiency of *Platycladus orientalis* and *Robinia pseudoacacia* sapling under steady soil water stress during different stages of their annual growth period. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 49: 1470-1477.
- Warren CR, Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ, Grant NJ, Turpin DH, Harrison DL, Black TA (2003). Transfer conductance in second growth Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) canopies. Plant Cell Environ. 26: 1215-1227.