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#### Abstract

In this paper, a three-species Lotka-Volterra food-chain model with spatial diffusion and time delays is investigated. We first analyze the local stability of the steady states and the existence of Hopf bifurcation to this system under homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. We consider the effects of impulses on the dynamics of the above food-chain model without spatial diffusion. Numerical simulations show that the system with constant periodic impulsive perturbations admits rich complex dynamics.
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## INTRODUCTION

The dynamic relationship between predators and their preys has long been and will continue to be one of the dominant themes in both ecology and mathematical ecology due to its universal existence and importance. The classical Lotka-Volterra type systems are very important in the models of multi-species population dynamics and have been studied by many authors for example (Huang and Zou, 2002; Kuang, 1993; Liu et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2004; Yan and Chua, 2006).
Recently, the effect of spatial dispersion on population dynamics has received considerable attention. In this situation, the governing equations for the population densities are described by a system of reaction-diffusion equations for example (Cosner and Lazer, 1984; Gan et al., 2009; Pao, 2003, 2004, 2007; Tang and Zhou, 2007). On the other hand, time delays of one type or another have been incorporated into biological models by many researchers; we refer to the monographs of Gopalsamy (1992), Kuang Kuang (1993) and references cited therein for general delayed biological systems. In general, delay differential equations exhibit much more complicated dynamics than ordinary differential equations since a time delay could cause a stable equilibrium to become unstable and cause the populations to fluctuate for example, (Beretta and Kuang, 1998; Busenberg and Huang,1996; Faria, 2001; Gan et al., 2009; Song et al., 2004). Time delay due to gestation is a common example, because generally the consumption of prey by the

[^0]predator throughout its past history governs the present birth rate of the predator. Therefore, more realistic models of population interactions should take into account the effects of time delays. In this paper, motivated by the above discussions, we are concerned with the following three-species food chain model with spatial diffusion and time delays (Xu and Zhien, 2009; Kaifa et al., 2007):
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=D_{1} \frac{\partial_{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+u(t, x)\left(r_{1}-a_{11} u(t, x)-a_{12} v(t, x)\right) \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=D_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+v(t, x)\left(-r_{2}+a_{21} u(t-\tau, x)-a_{22} v(t, x)-a_{23} w(t, x)\right) \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}=D_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+w(t, x)\left(-r_{3}+a_{32} v(t-\tau, x)-a_{33} w(t, x)\right) \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

with initial conditions;

$$
u(t, x)=\rho_{1}(t, x), v(t, x)=\rho_{2}(t, x), w(t, x)=\rho_{3}(t, x)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \in[-\tau, 0], x \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In system (1)-(2), $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, The data $\rho_{i}(t, x)(i=1,2,3)$ are nonnegative and HÖlder continuous and satisfy $\partial \rho_{i} / \partial x=0$ in $(-\infty, 0) \times \bar{\Omega} \cdot u(t, x), v(t, x)$ and $w(t, x)$ represent the densities of the prey, predator and top predator population at time $t$, and location $x$ respectively. The parameters $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{32}$,
$a_{33}, \tau D_{i}, r_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are positive constants.
We note that impulsive differential equations are suitable for the mathematical simulation of evolutionary process in which the parameters undergo relatively long periods of smooth variation followed by a short-term rapid change (that is, jumps) in their values. Recently, equations with impulsive effect have been found in almost every domain of applied science. Numerous examples are given in Bainovs and his collaborator's book (Bainov and Simeonov, 1993; Lakshmikantham et al., 1989). Some impulsive differential equations have recently been introduced in population dynamics in relation to impulsive birth (Roberts and Kao, 1998; Tang and Chen, 2002), impulsive vaccination (D'Onofrio, 2002; Shulgin et al., 1998), chemotherapeutic treatment of disease (Lakmeche and Arino, 2000; Panetta, 1996), and population ecology (Ballinger and Liu, 1997). Motivated by the work above, in this paper, we further discuss the effect of impulses on the dynamics of Equation (1). To this end, we discuss the following impulsive equations:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}u(t)=u(t, x)\left(r_{1}-a_{11} u(t, x)-a_{12} v(t, x)\right) \\ v(t)=v(t, x)\left(-r_{2}+a_{21} u(t-\tau, x)-a_{22} v(t, x)-a_{23} w(t, x)\right) \\ w(t)=w(t, x)\left(-r_{3}+a_{32} v(t-\tau, x)-a_{33} w(t, x)\right) \\ \Delta u(t)=u\left(t^{+}\right)-u\left(t^{-}\right)=0 \\ \Delta v(t)=v\left(t^{+}\right)-v\left(t^{-}\right)=0 \\ \Delta w(t)=w\left(t^{+}\right)-w\left(t^{-}\right)=\zeta w\left(t^{+}\right)\end{array}\right\} t=n T$

## LOCAL STABILITY AND HOPF BIFURCATION

In this section, we investigate the local stability of the steady states and the existence of Hopf bifurcation to Equation (1) with the initial conditions in Equation (2) and the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions:

$$
\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial w(t, x)}{\partial x}=0, \quad t \geq 0, x \in \partial \Omega
$$

where $\partial / \partial x$ denotes the outward normal derivative on $\partial \Omega$, the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions imply that the populations do not move across the boundary $\partial \Omega$. It is easy to show that Equation (1) always has a trivial steady state $E_{0}(0,0,0)$ and a semi-trivial steady state $E_{1}\left(r_{1} / a_{11}, 0,0\right)$. If $r_{1} a_{21}>r_{2} a_{11}$, Equation (1) has a semi-trivial steady state $E_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, 0\right)$, where

$$
s_{1}=\frac{r_{1} a_{22}+r_{2} a_{12}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \quad s_{2}=\frac{r_{1} a_{21}-r_{2} a_{11}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}
$$

If the following holds:

$$
\left(H_{1}\right) a_{21} a_{32} r_{1}-a_{11} a_{32} r_{2}-a_{12} a_{21} r_{3}-a_{11} a_{22} r_{3}>0
$$

system (1) has a unique positive steady state $E^{*}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$, where
$k_{1}=\frac{a_{22} a_{33} r_{1}+a_{23} a_{32} r_{1}+a_{12} a_{33} r_{2}-a_{12} a_{23} r_{3}}{a_{12} a_{21} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{22} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}}$
$k_{2}=\frac{a_{21} a_{33} r_{1}-a_{11} a_{33} r_{2}+a_{11} a_{23} r_{3}}{a_{12} a_{21} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{22} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}}$
$k_{3}=\frac{a_{21} a_{33} r_{1}-a_{11} a_{32} r_{2}-a_{12} a_{21} r_{3}-a_{11} a_{22} r_{3}}{a_{12} a_{21} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{22} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}}$
Let $0=\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots$ be the eigenvalues of the operator $-\Delta$ on $\Omega$ with homogeneous
Neumann boundary conditions, and $E\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ be the eigenspace corresponding to $\mu_{i}$ in $C^{1}(\Omega)$. Let $\mathrm{X}=\left[C^{1}(\Omega)\right]^{\beta}$, $\left\{\phi_{i j} ; j=1, \cdots, \operatorname{dim} E\left(\mu_{i}\right)\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $E\left(\mu_{i}\right)$, and $X_{i j}=\left\{c \phi_{i j} \mid c \in R^{3}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\stackrel{\oplus}{i=0} \mathrm{X}_{i} \text { and } \mathrm{X}=\underset{j=0}{\operatorname{dim}_{j} E\left(\mu_{i}\right)} \mathrm{X}_{i j} \\
& \text { Let } \quad D=\operatorname{diag}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}\right) \quad, \quad Z=(u, v, w) \quad \text {, } \\
& L Z=D \Delta Z+\varsigma(\hat{E}) Z \text {, where } \\
& \zeta(\hat{E}) Z=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{1}-2 a_{11} u^{0} & -a_{12} u^{0} & 0 \\
0 & -r_{2}+a_{21} u^{0}-2 a_{22} v^{0}-a_{23} w^{0} & -a_{23} v^{0} \\
0 & 0 & -r_{3}+a_{32} v^{0}-2 a_{33} w^{0}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{c}
u(t, x) \\
v(t, x) \\
w(t, x)
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} v^{0} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{32} w^{0} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u(t-\tau, x) \\
v(t-\tau, x) \\
w(t-\tau, x)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And $\hat{E}\left(u^{0}, v^{0}, w^{0}\right)$ represents any feasible uniform steady state of Equation (1). The linearization of system (1) at $\hat{E}$ is of the form $Z_{t}=L Z$. For each $i \geq 1, X_{i}$ is invariant under the operator $L$, and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ if and only if it is an eigenvalue of the matrix $-\mu_{i} D+\varsigma(\hat{E})$ for some $i \geq 1$, in which case, there is an eigenvector in $X_{i}$. The characteristic equation of $-\mu_{i} D+\varsigma\left(E_{0}\right)$ is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{2}+r_{2}\right)\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{3}+r_{3}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for $i=1$, Equation (4) always has a positive real root $r_{1}$.
Therefore, there is a characteristic root $\lambda$, with positive real part in the spectrum of $L$. Accordingly, the trivial uniform steady state $E_{0}(0,0,0)$ is always unstable. The characteristic equation of $-\mu_{i} D+\varsigma\left(E_{1}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{1}+r_{1}\right)\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{2}+r_{2}-a_{21} r_{1} / a_{11}\right)\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{3}+r_{3}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for any $i \geq 1$, Equation (5) always has two negative real roots $-\mu_{i} D_{1}-r_{1}$ and $-\mu_{i} D_{3}-r_{3}$. Its other root is $-\mu_{i} D_{2}-r_{2}+a_{21} r_{1} / a_{11}$.

If $r_{1} a_{21}>r_{2} a_{11},-r_{2}+a_{21} r_{1} / a_{11}>0$.Hence, when $i=1$, Equation (5) has a positive real root. Therefore, there is a characteristic root $\lambda$ with positive real part in the spectrum of $L$.Accordingly, if $r_{1} a_{21}-r_{2} a_{11}>0, E_{1}\left(r_{1} / a_{11}, 0,0\right)$ is unstable.

$$
\text { If } \quad r_{1} a_{21}<r_{2} a_{11} \quad, \quad-\mu_{i} D_{2}-r_{2}+a_{21} r_{1} / a_{11}<0 \quad \text { for }
$$

any $i \geq 1$.Therefore, all characteristic roots $\lambda$ are negative constants in the spectrum of $L$. Accordingly, if $r_{1} a_{21}<r_{2} a_{11}$, $E_{1}\left(r_{1} / a_{11}, 0,0\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable. The characteristic equation of $-\mu_{i} D+\zeta\left(E_{2}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{3}+r_{3}-a_{32} s_{2}\right)\left(\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} s_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(\lambda+\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} s_{2}\right)+a_{12} a_{21} s_{1} s_{2} e^{-\lambda \tau}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds, for $i=1,-\mu_{i} D_{3}-r_{3}+a_{32} s_{2}>0$, Equation(6) has a positive real root. Therefore, there is a characteristic root $\lambda$ with positive real part in the spectrum of $L$.Accordingly, if $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds, $E_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, 0\right)$ is unstable. If the following $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds: $a_{21} a_{32} r_{1}-a_{11} a_{32} r_{2}-a_{12} a_{21} r_{3}-a_{11} a_{22} r_{3}<0$

For $i \geq 1$, Equation
(6) always has a negative root $-\mu_{i} D_{3}-r_{3}+a_{32} s_{2}$. Its other roots are determined by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}+a_{1} \lambda+a_{0}+b_{0} e^{-\lambda \tau}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\quad a_{0}=\left(\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} s_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} s_{2}\right)$
$a_{1}=\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} s_{1}+\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} s_{2}, \quad b_{0}=a_{12} a_{21} s_{1} s_{2}$. It is easy to see that the roots of Equation (7) are negative real constants when $\tau=0$, then $E_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, 0\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable when $\tau=0$. If $i \sigma(\sigma>0)$ is a solution of Equation (7), separating real and imaginary parts, we can derive that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma^{2}-a_{0}=b_{0} \cos \sigma \tau  \tag{8}\\
a_{1} \sigma=b_{0} \sin \sigma \tau
\end{array}\right.
$$

Squaring and adding the two equations of Equation (8), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{4}+\left(a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{0}\right) \sigma^{2}+a_{0}^{2}-b_{0}^{2}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{11} a_{22}>a_{12} a_{21}$, we have $a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{0}>0$ and $a_{0}^{2}-b_{0}^{2}>0$, then Equation (9) have no positive roots for all $i \geq 1$. Therefore, all
characteristic roots $\lambda$ are negative constants in the spectrum of $L$. Accordingly, if $\left(H_{2}\right)$ holds and $a_{11} a_{22}>a_{12} a_{21}$, $E_{2}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, 0\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable for all $\tau>0$.
If $a_{11} a_{22}<a_{12} a_{21}$, we have $a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{0}>0$ and $a_{0}^{2}-b_{0}^{2}<0$, then Equation (9) have one positive root $\sigma_{0}$ for $i=1$. From Equation (8), we obtain
$\cos \sigma_{0} \tau=\frac{\sigma^{2}-a_{0}}{b_{0}}$
Thus, if we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{j}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}}\left\{\arccos \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}-a_{0}}{b_{0}}\right)+2 j \pi\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $j=0,1,2, \cdots$, then $\pm \sigma_{0}$ is a pair of pure imaginary roots of Equation (8) with $\tau_{j}^{*}$. Define $\tau^{*}=\tau_{o}^{*}$
When $\tau=\tau_{j}^{*}$, for $i=1$, Equation (7)has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm \sigma_{0}$ and all roots of Equation (7) have negative real parts for $i \geq 2$. Noting that if $\left(H_{2}\right)$ holds, the positive uniform steady state $E_{2}$ is locally stable when $\tau=0$, by the general theory on characteristic equations of delay differential equations from (Kuang,1993) (Theorem 4:1), $E_{2}$ remains stable for $\tau<\tau^{*}$.

We now claim that

$$
\left.\frac{d(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau^{*}}>0
$$

This will signify that there exists at least one eigenvalue with positive real part for $\tau>\tau^{*}$. Moreover, the conditions for the existence of a Hopf bifurcation (Hale, 1977) are then satisfied yielding a periodic solution. To this end, differentiating Equation (7) with respect $\tau$, we obtain

$$
2 \lambda \frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}+a_{1} \frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}-b_{0} \tau e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}=b_{0} \lambda e^{-\lambda \tau}
$$

Hence, we drive that

$$
\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right)^{-1}=\frac{a_{1}}{-\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+a_{1} \lambda+a_{0}\right)}-\frac{2}{\lambda^{2}+a_{1} \lambda+a_{0}}-\frac{\tau}{\lambda}
$$

It therefore follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sign}\left\{\frac{d(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{d \tau}\right\}_{\lambda=i \sigma_{0}}=\operatorname{sign}\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{\lambda=i \sigma_{0}}=\operatorname{sign}\left\{\frac{2 \sigma_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{0}}{a_{1} \sigma_{0}^{2}+\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}-a_{0}\right)^{2}}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $a_{1}^{2}-2 a_{0}>0$, it follows that
$\left.\frac{d(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau^{*}, \sigma=\sigma_{0}}>0$
Therefore, the transversal condition holds. From Equation (11), we know that a Hopf bifurcation occurs at $\sigma=\sigma^{0}, \tau=\tau^{*}$.
The characteristic equation of $-\mu_{i} D+\varsigma\left(E^{*}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}+p_{2} \lambda^{2}+p_{1} \lambda+p_{0}+\left(q_{1} \lambda+q_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}=\left(\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} k_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} k_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{i} D_{3}+a_{33} k_{3}\right) \\
& p_{1}=\left(\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} k_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} k_{2}\right)+\left(\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} k_{2}\right)\left(\mu_{i} D_{3}+a_{33} k_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} k_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{i} D_{3}+a_{33} k_{3}\right) \\
& p_{2}=\left(\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} k_{1}\right)+\left(\mu_{i} D_{2}+a_{22} k_{2}\right)+\left(\mu_{i} D_{3}+a_{33} k_{3}\right) \\
& q_{0}=a_{12} a_{21} k_{1} k_{2}\left(\mu_{i} D_{3}+a_{33} k_{3}\right)+a_{23} a_{32} k_{2} k_{3}\left(\mu_{i} D_{1}+a_{11} k_{1}\right) \\
& q_{0}=a_{12} a_{21} k_{1} k_{2}+a_{23} a_{32} k_{2} k_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\tau=0$, Equation (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{3}+p_{2} \lambda^{2}+\left(p_{1}+q_{1}\right) \lambda+p_{0}+q_{0}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $p_{2}>0$, $p_{0}+q_{0}>0$ and $\left(p_{1}+q_{1}\right) p_{2}>p_{0}+q_{0}$. Then it follows from Hurwitz criterions that all roots of Equation (13) have negative parts.
Hence, the positive uniform steady state $E^{*}$ is locally asymptotically stable when $\tau=0$.
If $i \omega(\omega>0)$ is a solution of Equation (12), separating real and imaginary parts, we derive that:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\omega^{3}+p_{1} \omega=q_{0} \sin \omega \tau-q_{1} \omega \cos \omega \tau \\ p_{2} \omega^{2}-p_{0}=q_{0} \cos \omega \tau+q_{1} \omega \sin \omega \tau\end{array}\right.$
Squaring and adding Equations(14), it follows that
$\omega^{6}+\left(p_{2}^{2}-2 p_{1}\right) \omega^{4}+\left(p_{1}^{2}-2 p_{0} p_{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) \omega^{2}+p_{0}^{2}-q_{0}^{2}=0$
Let $z=\omega^{2}$, Equation (15) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{3}+\left(p_{2}^{2}-2 p_{1}\right) z^{2}+\left(p_{1}^{2}-2 p_{0} p_{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) z+p_{0}^{2}-q_{0}^{2}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we need to seek conditions under which Equation (16) has at least one positive root. Denote
$h(z)=z^{3}+\left(p_{2}^{2}-2 p_{1}\right) z^{2}+\left(p_{1}^{2}-2 p_{0} p_{2}-2 q_{1}^{2}\right) z+p_{0}^{2}-q_{0}^{2}$
If the following holds:

$$
\left(H_{3}\right) a_{11} a_{22} a_{33}-a_{12} a_{21} a_{33}-a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}>0
$$

It is easy to verify that $p_{2}^{2}-2 p_{1}>0, p_{0}^{2}-q_{0}^{2}>0$ and $p_{1}^{2}-2 p_{0} p_{2}-q_{1}^{2}>0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence, Equation (15) has no positive roots in this case.

If the following holds:
$\left(H_{4}\right) \quad a_{11} a_{22} a_{33}-a_{12} a_{21} a_{33}-a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}<0 \quad$ we have $p_{0}^{2}-q_{0}^{2}=k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}\left(a_{11} a_{22} a_{33}-a_{12} a_{21} a_{33}-a_{11} a_{23} a_{32}\right)\left(p_{0}+q_{0}\right)<0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(z)=\infty$ for $i=1$, then Equation (15) has at least one positive root. Hence, Equation (12) has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \omega_{0}$, and all roots of Equation (12)have negative real parts for $i \geq 2$.
Suppose that Equation (16)has positive roots. Without loss of generality, we assume that it has three positive roots, defined by $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $z_{3}$ respectively. Then Equation (15) has three positive roots $\omega_{1}=\sqrt{z_{1}}, \omega_{2}=\sqrt{z_{2}}$ and $\omega_{3}=\sqrt{z_{3}}$. From (14), we have $\cos \omega \tau=\frac{q_{0}\left(p_{2} \omega^{2}-p_{0}\right)+q_{1}\left(\omega^{2}-p_{1}\right) \omega^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2} \omega^{2}}$

Thus, if we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}^{(j)}=\frac{1}{\omega_{k}}\left\{\arccos \left(\frac{q_{0}\left(p_{2} \omega^{2}-p_{0}\right)+q_{1}\left(\omega^{2}-p_{1}\right) \omega^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2} \omega^{2}}\right)+2 j \pi\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $k=1,2,3 ; j=0,1, \cdots$, then $\pm \omega_{k}$ is a pair of pure imaginary roots of Equation (14) with $\tau_{k}^{(j)}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}=\tau_{k_{0}}^{(0)}=\min _{k \in\{1,2,3\}}\left\{\tau_{k}^{(0)}\right\}, \quad \tau<\tau_{0} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the positive uniform steady state $E^{*}$ is locally stable when $\tau=0$, by the general theory on characteristic equations of delay differential equations from (Theorem 4.1), $E^{*}$ remains stable for $\tau<\tau_{0}$. We now claim that
$\left.\frac{d(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}>0$
Differentiating Equation (12) with respect $\tau$, it follows that $\backslash$
$\left(3 \lambda^{2}+2 p_{2} \lambda+p_{1}\right) \frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}+q_{1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}-\tau\left(q_{1} \lambda+q_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}=\lambda\left(q_{1} \lambda+q_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau}$
Hence, we drive that

$$
\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right)^{-1}=\frac{3 \lambda^{2}+2 p_{2} \lambda+p_{1}}{\lambda\left(q_{1} \lambda+q_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau}}+\frac{q_{1}}{\left(q_{1} \lambda+q_{0}\right)}-\frac{\tau}{\lambda}
$$

$=\frac{3 \lambda^{2}+2 p_{2} \lambda+p_{1}}{-\lambda\left(\lambda^{3}+p_{2} \lambda^{2}+p_{1} \lambda+p_{0}\right)}+\frac{q_{1}}{\lambda\left(q_{1} \lambda+q_{0}\right)}-\frac{\tau}{\lambda} \quad$ it $\quad$ therefore follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sign}\left\{\frac{d(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{d \tau}\right\}_{\lambda=i \omega_{0}}=\operatorname{sign}\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{\lambda=i \omega_{0}} \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left\{\frac{3 \omega_{0}^{4}+2\left(p_{2}^{2}-2 p_{1}^{2}\right) \omega_{0}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}-2 p_{0} p_{2}-q_{1}^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2} \omega_{0}^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $p_{2}^{2}-2 p_{1}>0$ and $p_{1}^{2}-2 p_{0} p_{2}-q_{1}^{2}>0$, it follows that

$$
\left.\frac{d(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}, \omega=\omega_{0}}>0
$$

Therefore, the transversal condition holds and a Hopf bifurcation occurs at $E^{*}$ when $\omega=\omega_{0}, \tau=\tau_{0}$. We therefore obtain the following results.

## RESULTS

## Theorem 2.1

Let $\tau^{*}$ and $\tau_{0}$ be defined by Equation (11) and Equation(19), respectively. For Equation (1) we have
(i) The trivial uniform steady state $E_{0}(0,0,0)$ is always unstable.
(ii) If $r_{1} a_{21}<r_{2} a_{11}$,the semi-trivial steady state $E_{1}\left(r_{1} / a_{11}, 0,0\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable; if $r_{1} a_{21}>r_{2} a_{11}, E_{1}$ is unstable.
(iii) Let $r_{1} a_{21}>r_{2} a_{11}$. If $\left(H_{2}\right)$ holds and $a_{11} a_{22}>a_{12} a_{21}$ the semi-trivial steady state $E_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, 0\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable for all $\tau \geq 0$; if $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds, $E_{2}$ is unstable for all $\tau \geq 0$; if ( $H_{2}$ ) holds and $a_{11} a_{22}<a_{12} a_{21}, E_{2}$ is locally asymptotically stable when $0 \leq \tau<\tau^{*}$ and is unstable when $\tau>\tau^{*}$; further, Equation (1) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E_{2}$ when $\tau=\tau^{*}$.
(iv) Let $\left(H_{1}\right)$ hold. If ${ }_{\left(H_{3}\right)}$ holds, the positive uniform steady state $E^{*}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable for all $\tau \geq 0$; if ${ }_{\left(H_{4}\right)}$ holds, the positive uniform steady state $E^{*}$ is asymptotically stable when $0 \leq \tau<\tau_{0}$ and is unstable when $\tau>\tau_{0}$; further, Equation (1) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E^{*}$ when $\tau=\tau_{0}$. We now give two examples to illustrate the main results.

## Example 1

In Equation (1), we set $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1, r_{1}=2, r_{2}=r_{3}=0.5$,
$a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, a_{12}=3, a_{23}=0.5, a_{21}=0.6$,
$a_{32}=0.7$. It is easy to show that Equation (1) has three steady states
$E_{0}(0,0,0), E_{1}(20 / 3,0,0)$ and $E_{2}(10 / 9,5 / 9,0)$. By
Theorem 2.1 we see that $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ are unstable for all $\tau>0, E_{2}$ is asymptotically stable when $0 \leq \tau<\tau^{*}=0.4676$ and the bifurcation occurs when $\tau$ crosses $\tau^{*}$ to the right $\left(\tau>\tau^{*}\right)$. These facts are illustrated by the numerical simulations in Figures 1 and 2.

## Example 2

In Equation (1), we let $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1, r_{1}=2$, $r_{2}=r_{3}=0.5, a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, a_{12}=a_{23}=0.5, a_{21}=0.6$, $a_{32}=0.7$.It is easy to show that system (1.1) has four steady states $E_{0}(0,0,0), \quad E_{1}(20 / 3,0,0), E_{2}(85 / 39,35 / 13,0)$ and $E^{*}(415 / 111,65 / 37,90 / 37)$. It follows from Theorem 2:1 that $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}$ are unstable for all $\tau>0, E^{*}$ is asymptotically stable when $0 \leq \tau<\tau_{0}=0.4749$ and the bifurcation takes place when $\tau$ crosses $\tau^{*}$ to the right $\left(\tau>\tau_{0}\right)$. These facts are illustrated by the numerical simulations in Figures 3 and 4.

## Chaotic behavior in Equation (3)

The influences of $\tau$ may be documented by stroboscopically sampling some of the variables over a range of $\tau$ values.
In Equation (3), we let $r_{1}=2, r_{2}=r_{3}=a_{12}=a_{23}=0.5$,
$a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, \quad a_{21}=0.6, a_{32}=0.7, \quad \varsigma=0.8, T=2$, $0.5 \leq \tau \leq 0.9$.
The influences of $\tau$ may be documented by stroboscopically sampling some of the variables over a range of $\tau$ values. We numerically integrate Equation (3) for 500 pulsing cycles at each value of $\tau$. For each $\tau$, we plot the last 100 measures of prey $u$, predator $v$ and top predator $w$. Since we sample at forcing period, the $T$-periodic solutions appear as fixed points, the $2 T$-periodic solutions appear as two cycles, and so forth. The resulting bifurcation diagrams (Figure 5) clearly show that: with the increasing of $\tau$ from 0.5 to 0.9 , Equation (3) experiences process of cycles $\rightarrow$ periodic doubling cascade $\rightarrow$ chaos (Figure 6). This periodic-doubling route to chaos is the hallmark of the logistic and Ricker maps


Figure 1. The temporal solution found by numerical integration of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1, r_{1}=2, r_{2}=r_{3}=a_{23}=0.5, a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, a_{12}=3, a_{21}=0.6$, $a_{32}=0.7, \tau=0.2$ and $\rho_{1}(t, x)=\rho_{2}(t, x)=\rho_{3}(t, x)=1+e^{-20 x}, t \in[-0.2,0]$.


Figure 2. The temporal solution found by numerical integration of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1, \quad r_{1}=2, \quad r_{2}=r_{3}=a_{23}=0.5, \quad a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3$, $a_{12}=3, a_{21}=0.6, a_{32}=0.7, \tau=0.6$ and $\rho_{1}(t, x)=\rho_{2}(t, x)=\rho_{3}(t, x)=1+e^{-20 x}$, $t \in[-0.6,0]$.


Figure 3.The temporal solution found by numerical integration of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1, r_{1}=2, r_{2}=r_{3}=a_{12}=a_{23}=0.5, a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, a_{21}=0.6$, $a_{32}=0.7, \tau=0.3$, and $\rho_{1}(t, x)=3+e^{-20 x}, \rho_{2}(t, x)=1+e^{-20 x}, \rho_{3}(t, x)=2+e^{-20 x}, t \in[-0.3,0]$.


Figure 4.The temporal solution found by numerical integration of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $D_{1}=D_{2}=D_{3}=1, r_{1}=2, r_{2}=r_{3}=a_{12}=a_{23}=0.5, a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, a_{21}=0.6$, $a_{32}=0.7, \tau=0.6$, and $\rho_{1}(t, x)=3+e^{-20 x}, \quad \rho_{2}(t, x)=1+e^{-20 x}, \quad \rho_{3}(t, x)=2+e^{-20 x}$, $t \in[-0.6,0]$.


Figure 5. Bifurcation diagrams of Equation (3) showing the effect of $\tau$ with $r_{1}=2$, $r_{2}=r_{3}=a_{12}=a_{23}=0.5, a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}=0.3, a_{21}=0.6, a_{32}=0.7, \zeta=0.8, T=2$, $0.5 \leq \tau \leq 0.9$, and initial values $u=2.5, v=4, w=6$.


Figure 6. Chaos of Equation (3) for $\tau=0.89$ : (a) time series of prey $u$; (b) time series of predator $v$; (c) time series of top predator $w$, (d) phase portrait.
(May, 1974; May and Oster, 1976) and has been studied extensively by Mathematicians Collet and Eeckmann, 1980; Venkatesan and Parthasarathy, 2003). For the predator-prey system, chaotic behaviors are usually obtained by continuous system with periodic forcing (Vandermeer et al., 2001).
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