Full Length Research Paper

Design and development of standalone DSP prototype for QT interval processing and monitoring

Goh Chun Seng*, Sh-Hussain Salleh, J. M. Najeb, I. Kamarulafizam, Mahyar Hamedi and Alias Md Noor

Centre for Biomedical Engineering (CBE), Transportation Research Alliance, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 813010 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.

Accepted 30 March, 2012

This paper describes the development of stand alone DSP hardware for QT interval monitoring and assessment. The QT interval has been known to be an important indicator prior to Myocardial Infarction (MI) and it is important to observe and monitor any changes in the period of the QT interval. The system consists of three units. The first unit includes floating point digital signal processor, TMS320VC33 which is selected for the development of signal processing with memory circuit. The following unit includes the development of signal monitoring displays circuit with universal serial bus (USB) interface. The third unit includes the development of analog front end (AFE) circuit and merged with signal conditioning circuit. This paper discusses the principle of system design and the system has the advantages to maximize utilization by allowing modification, reconfiguration, portable, cost effective and run in standalone operation. As well as eligibility of on board pre-program algorithm for QT analysis which existing ECG monitoring equipment are lacking. The system had been successfully tested with algorithm for QT analysis and it is validated with healthy subject's data files from PTB diagnostic ECG data base.

Key words: QT interval, DSP processor, stand alone operation, portable.

INTRODUCTION

The invention of ECG in the beginning of 20th centuries by Willem Einthoven remains as demanded tool and popular component until today for non-invasive method to evaluate heart condition of patients who have been diagnose with signs and symptoms in clinical environment (Sandham et al., 2007). The interpretation of ECG will allow cardiologist and clinicians to understand and collect more information of electrical activity of the heart.

However, with the integration of embedded technology, the automated interval measurement of P,Q,R,S and T in the ECG recording system has became an ideal instrument for patient monitoring and supervision in clinical practice. Although, the automated measurement are well establish in the ECG recording system but recently (Kligfield et al., 2006) there are studies focuses on algorithm variation of automated QT interval measurement on commercial ECG recording system from different manufacturer.

However, the automated methods of the QT interval are already widely available for computerized electrocardiography system (Christov, 2006), which ranges from manufactured ECG recording system to PC based system with different size and weight. Nevertheless, those commercial systems of automated QT interval measurements from different manufacturers have been utilize in studies to predict heart concern, (Kligfield et al., 2006) but due to different automated QT interval in the system with different automated algorithm from different manufacturers which can affect the identification and quantification of the acquired ECG, which means that different automated of QT measurement algorithm produces variation and error when validated against manually annotated QT database.

The QT interval measurement is crucial and it is used as an informative index to predict sudden death due to

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: seng4@yahoo.com. Tel: 07-5535933.

cardiac arrhythmias (Malarvili et al., 2005). The indication of cardiac arrhythmias is related to the duration of the QT interval and it is important to observe and monitor any changes in the period of the QT interval (Mneimneh et al., 2006).

Traditionally, QT interval measurements of patients are interpreted manually from ECG strip paper printed by ECG recording machines, the measurement are dependent and costly, if require skillful and experienced cardiologist or physician to carry out the manual procedures (Malik, 2004). Therefore the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has initiated the encouragement to recommend digitizes ECGs and it is highlighted by Badilini (2005) as an efficient way to triggered the interest toward algorithm based ECG analysis.

Given the fact that, commercial automated QT interval measurement with signal analysis capabilities is an expensive customize systems (Oweis and Hijazi, 2006), the reason are, the first: systems development timeline are strictly bounded by procedures from regulator. Second, it is intended to be use in clinical practice for human subject only (Saviola, 2005). Thus, only authorize manufacturer is given the mandate by regulator to make modifications and improvements toward improving speed and efficiency of the commercial system (Kaplan et al., 2004). Therefore, that restriction by regulator will be a financial challenge for researcher's to acquire various types of instruments with QT interval measurement for just to harvest the raw ECG from patient and do offline QT analysis on computer. It is clearly to shows that, the automated QT interval measurement commercial systems are not subject for any research purposes in term of reprogrammable the QT algorithm on those commercial systems for ECG data analysis.

Therefore, in this paper we introduce the development of an automated standalone system which is focused on portability, standalone operation and low cost QT interval measurement device. This standalone system is using Digital Signal Processor (DSPs) technology to accomplish the real time QT interval measurement from the tapped ECG and it is reprogrammable with simplest to more complex QT algorithms; ranges begin with simple beat to beat detection followed by advanced diagnosis for disorder heart disease. There are various systems applications in ECG parameters analysis have been reported (Mohammad et al., 2003; Gorup et al., 2000; Kara et al., 2006) but none of them describes a real time QT interval measurement regarding standalone operation, portability and low cost device.

The high computational performance of Digital Signal Processor is sufficient to implement a standalone system without any host controller, such as computer. It is also a highly flexible standalone system and can be easily reprogrammed (Soon et al., 2001). Therefore, the advantages of the proposed standalone system, will allow cooperation between the researcher and cardiologist to work on implementation of QT algorithm development with on board reprogrammable function which is lacking in the existing commercial automated QT interval measurement systems.

METHODOLOGY

The architecture layout of the system

The main components of the standalone system design will be described in stages according to the logically organization. The description will begin with Analog Front End Unit, follow by Signal Monitoring displays Unit, Signal Processing with Memory Unit and Power Supply Unit. All the stages of the process will be described. Figure 1 is the block diagram of the stand alone system and the way of components are interconnected and interrelated.

Signal processing with memory unit

This unit is focuses on incoming ECG from codec chip set for signal processing. There are few major components are used in the signal processing with memory unit. The mains components are 32 bit floating point DSP processor (TMS320VC33), Electrical Erasable Programmable Read only Memory - EEPROM (CAT28LV64W) and Static Random Access Memory - SRAM (CY7C1041DV33). The origin of DSP processor itself is design to handle mathematical and algorithm application and it is inefficient as a task oriented controller. For the SRAM memory in this unit is to allow for ECG data storage and the SRAM memory are expandable in both the data bus and address bus width.

Analog Front End (AFE) Unit

This unit provides interaction through peripherals connectivity with the real world environment. The peripherals are remote or pluggable analog front end devices either ready made or commercial or custom build ones to allow converted input signal to be delivered into the main board. Figure 1 shows that the tap ECG is delivered to the main board through the custom build AFE device. This AFE input consists of: (a) Signal conditioning circuitry (SCC), (b) Codec chip.

The SCC is needed to amplify the tap ECG (0.5 to 5mV peak to peak) to at least the gain of 1000 (Najeb et al., 2005). Noises are common for tap ECG signal from body skin surface. Therefore, this SCC is build with instrumentation amplifier (INA121P) with low noise differential signal acquisition in order to minimize noise interference. The output from SCC is connected to codec chip set (PCM3003) to interface with TMS320VC33 in the main board.

The codec chip is fully controlled by hardware setting and the data formats are 16 bit. Then the data transmission is only clock in and clock out in sequence from the codec chip set. So, it is need not depending on software setting or external clocking for operation.

Signal monitoring unit

This unit provides display on graphical liquid crystal displays (GLCD) for monitoring ECG as shown in Figure 1. In this unit, the microcontroller, PIC18LF452 is configured to interact with graphical liquid crystal displays (GLCD). The microcontroller is added in this unit without interrupting the TMS320VC33, because the microcontroller performs best in tasking order, which capable of



Figure 1. Block diagram of the stand alone system. The core processor is a floating point, Digital Signal Processor, TMS320VC33. The capture ECG signal is display on the Graphic LCD (128x64 dot pixel).I.

task orientation and lack of DSP terms. However, the ADC0820 received the input from the tap ECG signal through signal conditioning circuit (SCC) and the converted ADC data is latch to the output port of ADC0820. Then the tap ECG signal from SCC output was capture and converted by 8 bit Analog to Digital Converter chip set (ADC0820) and translated by PIC18LF452 into GLCD code in order to display on the GLCD.

Figure 2, shows that, upon initialization, the microcontroller put to observe the FT245RL chip set to get acknowledge and the observation is done through Port A of PIC18LF452. As the acknowledge signal is detected, and then the firmware will proceed to next step's label as 'A'. If there is no feed back for acknowledge signal by the FT245RL, then in this case, the next stage will begin with monitoring the external interrupt and the firmware loops. If the interrupt is detected, PIC18LF452 will initialize the Graphic LCD panel and begin to read the ADC data from Port C of PIC18LF452. After that, the tap ECG is put on GLCD screen to display as the ECG monitoring signal.

As depicted in Figure 3, there are two categories in the signal monitoring displays unit. The first category is the microcontroller with USB interfacing, colored with yellow dotted line. The FT245RL provides pin WR and pin RD# which are functions as write and read with 128 byte and 256 byte buffers in the FT245RL. The functions of the WR and the RD# pins are associated with pin TXE# and pin RXF# to indicate the buffer status, either it is empty so that written process is allowed to proceed or whether the buffer is full so that the read process can be executed. However, only pin WR and pin TXE# from FT245RL are utilized and both of these pins are connected to PIC18LF452. When TXE# is in LOW state means that the write buffer is ready and the data is awaiting at the FT245RL buffer. Then, the WR pin is toggled by PIC18LF452 from the HIGH state to LOW state, in order to transfer the data in bulks of 128 bytes into the EEPROM. The EEPROM enable by setting both \overline{CE} and \overline{WE} pins are in LOW state and the \overline{OE} pin is in HIGH stage.

The lengths of the data in bulk mode are 21 bits and the transfer mode is in serial. Therefore, the 74HC164 with 8 parallel output is connected in cascade of 3 units in a row, so that this connection will allow the 21 bits of data to transfer as serial in and parallel out from the 74HC164. Figure 4 shows the circuit for microcontroller with USB interfacing and serial in parallel out circuit in signal monitoring displays unit. In Figure 5 shows the firmware loops and downloading process for pre-program ECG algorithm into the EEPROM in serial packet of address and data via USB connectivity.

The firmware begins with the initialization of EEPROM and the shift register. After that, the download begins with sequence of 21 bit per packet in serial format. Hence, the 21 bit per packet is shifting into the EEPROM by three shift register -74HC164 cascade in serial with each shift a byte of address and data into the EEPROM. The format of 21 bit per packet of address and data is depicted in Figure 6. The shift register is connected with FT245RL and it is monitored by PIC18LF452 to manage the elapse period of data transfer and will received acknowledge after data transaction is completed.

Power supply unit

This prototype DSP hardware is designed to utilize the USB power. The focus is on low power consumptions. The USB voltage is regulated by TPS77533 and TPS77618 to provide necessary power demand in signal processing with memory unit, signal monitoring displays unit and analog front end (AFE) unit. Both of the voltage regulators are low drop out (LDP) voltage regulator. The ranges of different power supply are group as the following:

i. 1.8V for DSP processor core supply.

ii. 3.3V for DSP processor I/O pins and External Memory chip set.

iii. 3.3V for Microcontroller.

iv. 5.0V for Voltage regulator.



Figure 2. Flowchart of firmware loop to display the tap ECG to the GLCD.

QT Algorithm for ECG Signal Analysis

The QT algorithm applied in this prototype DSP hardware is adapted from Laguna P et al. (1990). The criteria's of these QT algorithm involves the preprocessing, QRS detection, R and Qwaves definition, QRS onset definition, T wave peak and T wave end definition. The criteria's are depicted in Figure 7. The first attempt, a low pass differentiator (LDP) and first order low pass filter is used to eliminate noise (the residual and intrinsic differentiation noise). Next stage is the QRS detection, by defining threshold (H1) to detect the QRS peak. After detecting the QRS peak, the search of nearest peaks begins with forward and backward search, in order to identify the R peak. After that, the R and Q position (R_p and Q_p) are define through zero crossing method. Then, continue with QRS onset definition, where backwards search is carry out in the differentiated signal d(k) for Q_i and R_i in order to identify the present of Q and R wave (Laguna et al. 1990). Next stage, the QRS begin (QRS₁) is define from the zero crossing point of Qi and Ri. From the R position Rp, a search windows are define, the bwind and ewind. Then the searching is beginning with forward search to look for maximum and minimum value, which will define the T wave end in the searching process. This method is selected because it is produces the closet results (Moraes and Viana, 1997) obtained visually by specialist when tested for single lead (lead II). The QT algorithm was developed in C language with the assistant of Code Composer 3x/4x before it was implemented in the prototype DSP hardware. Upon Validation on this prototype DSP hardware for the developed QT algorithm, an annotated data set of Physikalisch Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) Diagnostic ECG data prepared Christov et al. (2006) is used. As stated by Najeb (2007), the QT algorithm works best on healthy subjects in PTB diagnostic ECG data base. Therefore, this is done by downloading the PTB Diagnostic ECG healthy subject's files only, from the data base into the prototype DSP hardware.

The implementation and validation of this QT algorithm to the prototype DSP hardware is described in the flow diagram as depicted in Figure 8. The experiment setup procedure is to validate the QT algorithm for the prototype DSP hardware. The process



Figure 3. Block diagram of hardware interfacing in signal monitoring displays unit.



Figure 4. Serial in Parallel out (SIPO) circuit and EEPROM interface circuit.



Figure 5. Flow chart of downloading data to EEPROM.



Figure 6. Transfer data packet of 21 bit to EEPROM via USB.

begins with hardware setups followed by Code Composer to load the QT algorithm from the created workspace window and deliver each file (healthy subjects) from the PTB Diagnostic ECG data base by using probe point into the prototype DSP hardware. The data file format for PTB Diagnostic ECG data base is converted into the Code Composer 3x/4x format. As the processing begins, the signal transition is visible on the graphical display window as shown in Figure 9 for the DSP prototype hardware so that it enables researcher to observe and gain insight for each executed processing step. Finally, the obtained result for Q onset and T offset are displayed at the watch window for the prototype DSP hardware as depicted in Figure 9. The obtained results are validated with the annotated data set.

The ECG data for validation uses in Code Composer 3x/4x is

acquired from PTB diagnostic ECG database. In this ECG database only information of ECG lead II is extracted for QT interval measurement. However, the extracted lead II information are in numeric text format but the required format for File I/O using probe point in Code Composer 3x/4x, must be in hexadecimal. Therefore, conversion of file format is required and needed to be done in order for Code Composer 3x/4x to read those ECG files to the target processor, TMS320VC33.

The requirements for the requested ECG files are in 2 bytes format for each data present in the converted file from the PTB Diagnostic ECG data base.

Figure 10 shows a converted file of patient104_s0306lre taken from PTB Diagnostic ECG data base: On the left of Figure 10 shows the raw PTB Diagnostic ECG data with file name:







Figure 8. Flow diagram of QT algorithm implementation and validation for the developed prototype DSP hardware.



Figure 9. The QT algorithm is developed in C with the assistant of Code Composer 3x/4x. The signal transition is visualized at the watch windows for each preprocessing interface to the prototype DSP hardware.



Figure 10. The ECG file conversion from raw ECG data to 2 byte format, to be used with Code Composer 3x/4x.



Figure 11. File header information, the converted ECG file (patient104_s0306lre) with 2 byte format.

patient104_s0306lre is extracted from Physio bank ATM for lead II information and on the right is the converted information, in 16 bit or 2 byte format. The converted ECG file of patient104_s0306lre begins with a header format located in the first line of the files.

The header format is depicted in Figure 11. The first line of the converted file is the header's information which is in hexadecimal format. The header consists of useful and needed information for ECG file processing. The header information syntax is a) fix number, b) data format, c) starting address of memory block, d) page number e) length of block data.

(a) Fix number: Fixed by default numeric number, 1651.

(b) Data format: Is a numeric number beginning with 1 to 4, to indicate the samples format in the file. This numeric number represents a data format: hexadecimal, integer, long integer, floating point, respectively. However, numeric 1 is selected to indicate that the entire converted ECG file for patient104_s0306lre is in hexadecimal.

(c) Starting address: To indicate the start address for data file. In this case the setting is 0.

(d) Page number: Is a numeric number beginning with 1 to 2, to indicate the type of file contents. This numeric number represents: data and program respectively. However, numeric 1 is selected to indicate the file contents are only data.

(e) Length: To indicate the total samples data in the file. In this case the setting is 0.

Nevertheless, there are two numeric zero is defined in the file header as shown in Figure 11. These two numeric zeros are representing the starting address of data file and the length of data file. But, these two zeros can be overwritten, when using the Code Composer data file format with file I/O capabilities as depicted in Figure 12, this means that any information entered in the Address dialog box and in the Length dialog box, will automatically override the Code Composer data file information. Followed by, the information which is entered, to the dialog box, the address begins with inp_buffer and the lengths are 3200 samples of data. The 3200 samples of data are the converted ECG file of patient104_s0306Ire as shown in Figure 10. The address and the length of data also defined in C, respectively as depicted in Figure 12b. In order to link the file, as shows in Figure 12c it is needed to connect probe point with the converted ECG file of patient104_s0306Ire. After that, is to execute the QT algorithm under Code Composer 3x/4x as depicted in Figure 12d.

RESULTS

Experiment had been carried out in order to determine the functionality and performance for this standalone DSP prototype design. The ECG parameter chosen for this experiment setup were Q onset and T offset. Therefore, this prototype was validated by using the PTB diagnostic ECG database utilizing the annotated QT data set prepared by Christov et al. (2006). An emulator test setup with code composer 3x/4x were used to test the standalone prototype DSP hardware functionally and



- a) Setup address and length for input buffer
- b) Address and buffer for the data length using the C file system in Code Composer 3x/4x

Break/Probe/Pro	file Points	
Breakpoints Prob	Points Profile Points	
Probe type: Location: Expression: Connect To:	Probe et Location VC33_EC6_Par.c line 2279 FILE IN.C.\	Add
Probe Point. ₩VC33_ECG_Pa	r c line 2279> FILE IN-C:\\patient104_s0306ire.dat	Defete Enable All Disable All Delete All
	OK Cancel Apply	Help

c) A converted ECG file of patient104_s0306lre is connected with probe point.

e bat wew moject bebug Profiler	Sten of the whom here	the set of set of the first set	
≈ ∎ 3 © ⊠ ∞ ∾	- # # 2 4 3 4	01-01-01-01-01-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-	
Image: Control of the set of the	- Mile (Mutrestate()(14 (- 0,)		
D File View Bookmarks	End Search QBS1.QBS2.QBS3 End		
		× ↓ ↓ ↓	
	NAME: main() FUNCTION: VC33_EC3_Par: Read input buffe	*/ */ */ */ */	
	<pre>int num = BUFSIZE; int num = BUFSIZE; int tum = fum buffer[0];</pre>		
0.Pk1_loc = 199 0.Pk2_loc = 426 0.Pk3_loc = 655 0.PKb1_loc = -803 0.PKb2_loc = -803 0.PKb2_loc = -803 0.PKb2_loc = -703 0.Minbpk1_loc = -795	<pre>output = Sour_outfor[0]; while(TRUE) /* loop forever */ {</pre>		
0_Minbpk2_loc = -795 exotMaxia_loc = -795 exotMaxia_loc = 260 Qp1_loc = -804 Qp2_loc = -1617 Qp1_loc = -1617 Qp1_loc = -1616 Qi_loc = -886 Qi_2_loc = -1618 Qi_loc = -1618	<pre>/* write to output huffer */</pre>		
III Watch 1 (79 1)	×		
LTED For Help, pr	555 F1		Jan 2300, Gal 3 NA

d) The QT algorithm read in 3200 word of data from a converted ECG file of patient104_s0306lr

Figure 12. Screen snapshoot of linking a file with Code Composer 3x/4x to the prototype DSP hardware.

performance.

The test setup was organized into two parts: a) the functional test results and b) the validation result. In functional test results, tap ECG were acquired from AFE unit to be displayed on the graphical LCD (GLCD) display and the on chip (TMS320VC33) physical pin H1 is checked to ensure the present of signal oscillation in multiple of scale five factors from the clock input (oscillator). For validation results, ECG file from PTB

diagnostic ECG database were used and compared with the annotated data set, the obtained results were plot in line graph. The functional test and validation results will be discussed.

Functional test results

When power on, pin H1 was checked. The result is



Figure 13. Pin H1 at TMS320VC33, capture by scope-meter software (fluke, model-199b).

shown in Figure 13, the 100MHz is the multiple x5 scale factor from the clock input which is the oscillator frequency, 20MHz. This proves that the chip set (TMS320VC33) is operational. After that, ECG signal is acquired through the input from AFE as shown Figure 14. The AFE hardware is custom build and the ECG signal is obtain from the Lead II formation on human body skin surface with fours disposable electrodes place on the right hand, left hand, right leg and left leg.

This custom build AFE hardware device consists of: a) Signal Conditioning Circuitry (SCC) and b) codec chip, PCM3003. The SCC is needed to amplify the tap ECG (0.5mV to 5mV peak to peak) to at least the gain of 1000 (Najeb et al., 2005). Noises are common for tap ECG signal from body skin surface. Therefore, this SCC is build with instrumentation amplifier (INA121P) with low noise differential signal acquisition in order to minimize noise interference. The tap ECG is connected to scopemeter (fluke, model-199b) at SCC output, as shown in Figure 14(a). This shows that, the SCC is operational. Then the tap ECG signal from SCC output was capture and converted by 8 bit Analog to Digital Converter chip set (ADC0820) and translated by PIC18LF452 into GLCD code. As a result, the tap ECG signals are able to display at the GLCD as shown in Figure 14(b).

The output from SCC is connected to codec chip set to interface with TMS320VC33 in the main board. PCM3003 is selected because it is fully controlled by hardware setting and the data formats are selectable (16 bit or 20 bit) by physical pins configuration, but in this work the data format 16 bit is selected. Then the data transmission is only clock in and clock out in sequence from the codec chip set. So, it is not dependent on software setting or external clocking for operation.

The connected circuitry depicted in Figure 14 shows that the ECG monitoring signal is directly send to microcontroller without interrupting the TMS320VC33. This means that, the TMS320VC33 is only focus on incoming ECG from codec chip set for signal processing. Figure 15 depicted two different tap ECG monitoring displays result for test subject resting in static and non static condition.

Validation result: Q Onset and T Offset

The validation of the prototype DSP hardware performance for QT algorithm is carried out on the PTB diagnostic ECG database by utilizing the annotations prepared by Christov et al. (2006). Table 1 shows the



Figure 14. The tap Electrocardiogram capture by scope-meter (fluke, model-199b) and display as monitoring signal on Graphic LCD.

selected 15 files for healthy control subjects with annotated Q onset (in millisecond - ms) used in validating the prototype DSP hardware. The obtained *deviations* mean errors for prototype DSP hardware is 9.13 ms.

The prototype design has been tested with algorithm for QT analysis and it is validated with 15 healthy subject's files from PTB diagnostic ECG data base. The recording length of each selected file by default is about one minute trace. Each selected test file data contain one selected beat marked visually by referee's annotation (Christov et al., 2006). Table 1 shows that, there are selected 15 healthy subject files from the PTB Diagnostic ECG database are used to obtain the measured results from prototype DSP hardware. The measured results of the prototype DSP hardware were presented in line graph as depicted in Figure 16. The yellow illustrates the annotated Q onset and the purple illustrates the prototype DSP hardware. The overall measured results trend in Figure 16 shows fluctuation with slight increased towards the end of the files listing. However, the comparison of the measured results with the annotated Q onset shows moderate differences. There are five patient file shows higher deviation, which is more than 10 ms and above. Those patient files are patient166/s0275Ire, patient172/s0304Ire, patient180/s0561_re, patient198/s0402Ire and patient263/s0499_re.

This is the second part of validation for the prototype DSP hardware, which is validate on T offset detection. The total of 15 patient files was acquired from PTB diagnostic ECG database, T offset detection for each of



(b) Test Subject: Resting in non-static condition

Figure 15: ECG based line moment due to test subject body movement. (a) In static condition. (b) In non-static condition.

 $\label{eq:comparison} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1}. \ \text{Comparison of } Q \ \text{onset between the annotated data set with the measured} \\ \text{one and the obtained deviation results for prototype DSP hardware.} \end{array}$

PTB Diagnostic ECG Data Base			Prototype DSP Hardware	
No	Detiont File	Q onset (ms)	Q onset (ms)	
INO	Patient File	Annotated	Measured	Deviation
1	patient104/s0306lre	750	756	6
2	patient116/s0302lre	1136	1140	4
3	patient165/s0322lre	1221	1224	3
4	patient166/s0275lre	1632	1612	20
5	patient172/s0304lre	1470	1486	16
6	patient180/s0561_re	1751	1732	19
7	patient198/s0402lre	1165	1192	27
8	patient233/s0457_re	1543	1540	3
9	patient234/s0460_re	1518	1520	2
10	patient241/s0469_re	1717	1716	1
11	patient241/s0470_re	1566	1568	2
12	patient243/s0472_re	1155	1152	3
13	patient248/s0481_re	1061	1056	5
14	patient263/s0499_re	1473	1496	23
15	patient264/s0500_re	1389	1392	3
	Mean error			9.13



Figure 16. Comparison of *annotated* (yellow) and the *measured result*, Q onset in ms (millisecond). Purple illustrates the measured Q onset on prototype DSP hardware.

PTB	Diagnostic ECG Data Base		Prototype DSP Hardware		
No	Dationt File	T Offset (ms)	T Offset (ms)		
	Fatient File	Annotated	Measured	Deviation	
1	patient104/s0306lre	1136	1132	4	
2	patient116/s0302lre	1540	1525	15	
3	patient165/s0322lre	1612	1612	0	
4	patient166/s0275lre	2043	2036	7	
5	patient172/s0304lre	1878	1876	2	
6	patient180/s0561_re	2123	2122	1	
7	patient198/s0402lre	1530	1528	2	
8	patient233/s0457_re	1939	1932	7	
9	patient234/s0460_re	1950	1948	2	
10	patient241/s0469_re	2114	2112	2	
11	patient241/s0470_re	1962	1960	2	
12	patient243/s0472_re	1476	1468	8	
13	patient248/s0481_re	1448	1440	8	
14	patient263/s0499_re	1829	1818	11	
15	patient264/s0500_re	1797	1794	3	
	Mean error			4.93	

 Table 2. Comparison of T Offset between the annotated data set with the measured one and the obtained deviation results for prototype DSP hardware.

the file was annotated with a marker by utilizing the annotations prepared by Christov et al. (2006). Table 2 depicted the 15 healthy control subjects files with annotated T offset (in millisecond - ms) used for validating the prototype DSP hardware. The measured T offset results for the *deviation* mean errors are 4.93 ms.

The measured results of 15 healthy subject files (PTB Diagnostic ECG database) from Table 2 for the prototype

DSP hardware were presented in line graph as depicted in Figure 17. The blue illustrates the annotated T offset and the purple illustrates the prototype DSP hardware. As an overall trend, it is clear that, there were wild fluctuations in T offset measured results towards the end of the files listing in Figure 17 which represented the prototype DSP hardware. However, the measured results of the prototype DSP hardware are close to the



Figure 17. Comparison of *annotated* (blue) and the *measured result*, T offset in ms (millisecond). Purple illustrates the measured T offset on prototype DSP hardware.

annotated T offset. It can be clearly seen that, the highest deviation of measured results is the second file, patient116/s0302Ire which is 15 ms, and the lowest or no deviation is the third file, patient165/s0322Ire which is 0 ms.

DISCUSSION

The prototype DSP hardware has been built, this prototype is validated using PTB ECG diagnostic database and the acquired tap ECG is able to put on Graphic LCD. In summary, the completed prototype DSP hardware system is depicted in Figure 18. The Analog Front End (AFE) unit is interfaced with volunteer subject as shown in Figure 18(b) and Figure 18(d). The output of AFE is seen at the oscilloscope screen in Figure 18(e), this shows that it is operational. The signal processing with memory unit is shown in Figure 18(a). However, in Figure 18(c) is the signal monitoring displays unit to display the output of AFE on GLCD with various ECG based line moment pattern either the volunteer subject is resting in static condition or non static condition, the display results are shown in Figure 18(f) and Figure 18(g). The validation process on the prototype DSP hardware for QT algorithm is able to be displayed on the computer screen as shown in Figure 18(h) through the emulator.

Nevertheless, the ECG data for this prototype DSP hardware validation is taken directly from PTB diagnostic ECG data base therefore is not influenced by the codec. Codec is introduced initially in the system as an initial development for this system. The codec will be replace with ADC in the future work for real time QT

measurement on standalone DSP platform. Furthermore, the functional test as depicted earlier shows that this prototype is operational. Since with the proposed of this prototype DSP hardware is targeted at bridging the gap among researcher and cardiologist have for in collaboration to develop and implementation of QT algorithm at a low cost standalone DSP platform. As well as eligibility of on board pre-program algorithm for QT analysis which existing ECG monitoring equipment are lacking. For the presented prototype DSP hardware design can be extended in term of design, method and structure to capture and conduct other types of biomedical signal processing in real time processing.

Conclusions

In this paper, we present a design and development of standalone DSP prototype for QT Interval processing and monitoring. The high cost and lack of flexible applications on existing DSP hardware in the market has prompted researcher to design and fabricate a low cost with applications versatility DSP hardware. By the implementation of an operational standalone prototype DSP hardware design, the stand alone prototype DSP hardware system using floating point digital signal processor has been successfully built. The building of the system begins by fabricating the main board as the core processing unit and the analog front end device as the input. The core unit for main board signals processing is designed with a Digital Signal Processor (DSPs), TMS320VC33. The QT algorithm is applied in this prototype DSP hardware, whereby it is validated with PTB diagnostic ECG data base and the mean error results are; Q onset is 9.13 ms



Figure 18. The operational and functional of Prototype DSP hardware.

(millisecond) and T offset is 4.93 ms (millisecond).

REFERENCES

- Badilini F, Erdem T, Zareba W, Moss AJ (2005). ECG Scan: a method for conversion of paper electrocardiographic printouts to digital electrocardiographic files, 38: 310-318.
- Christov I, Dotsinsky I, Simova I, Prokopova R, Trendafilova E, Naydenov S (2006). Dataset of manually measured QT intervals in the electrocardiogram, 5: 31
- Christov II S (2006). Fully Automated Method for QT Interval Measurement in ECG, 33: 321-324.
- Gorup Ž, Štajer D, Noč M (2000). Signal Analysis Systems for Optimal Timing of Electrical Defibrillation, 2: 694-697.
- Kaplan AV, Maim DS, Smith JJ, Feigal DA, Simons M, Jefferys D, Fogarty TJ, Kuntz RE, Leon MB (2004). Medical Device Development: From Prototype to Regulatory Approval, 109: 3068-3072.
- Kara S, Kemaloğlu S, Kirbaş Ş (2006). Low Cost Compact ECG With Graphic LCD and Phonocardiogram System Design, 30: 205-209.
- Kligfield P, Hancock WE, Helfenbein D E, Dawson JE, Cook AM, Lindauer MJ, Zhou HS, Xue J (2006). Relation of QT Interval Measurement to Evolving Automated Algorithm from Different Manufacturers of Electrocardiographs, 98: 88-92.

- Laguna P, Thakor NV, Caminal P, Jane R, Yoon H R (1990). New algorithm for QT interval analysis in 24 hour Holter ECG: Perform. Appl., 28: 67-73.
- Malarvili MB, Hussain S, Ab-Rahman AR (2005). Development of Automated 12 Lead QT Dispersion Algorithm for Sudden Cardiac Death, 2: 2.
- Malik M (2004). Errors and Misconceptions in ECG Measurement Used for the Detectection of Drug Induced QT Interval Prolongation, 37: 25-33.
- Mneimneh MA, Povinelli, Johnson MT (2006). Integrative Technique for the Determination of QT Interval, 33: 329-332.
- Mohammad S, Lim Z, Lin Y, Sani A, Zanjani K, Paracha M, Jenkins J (2003). Real time ECG Analysis Using a TI TMSC54X Digital Signal Processing Chip, 30: 541-544.
- Moraes J, Viana S (1997). A New Automatic Method to Estimate the QT Interval from the Electrographic Signal, 24: 493-496.
- Najeb JM (2007). Real-Time Implementation of Twelve-Lead Automated Electrocardiogram System Measurement for QT Dispersion Analysis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Masters Thesis.
- Najeb JM, Ruhullah A, Salleh Sh H (2005). 12 Channel USB Data Acquisition System For QT Dispersion Analysis. pp. 83-86.
- Online available, Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) ECG data base. URL, http://www.physionet.org/cgi-bin/atm/ATM
- Oweis R, Hijazi L (2006). A Computer aided ECG diagnostic tool, 81: 279-284.
- Sandham W, Hamilton D, Laguna P, Cohen M (2007). Advances in

Electrocardiogram Signal Processing and Analysis. 2007: 1-5. Saviola J (2005). The FDA's role in medical device clinical studies of human subjects. S1-S4.

Soon IY, Yeo CK, Ng HC (2001). An analogue video interface for general purpose DSP. 25: 33-39.