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Nowadays, greater respect for the environment is a widespread social demand. Since the cork industry generates vast amounts of waste of approximately 20 to 30%, the harvested cork ends up as cork dust with no industrial use. It is becoming necessary to find a way to reuse or recycle this kind of residue. This paper evaluates the mechanical behavior of several samples of concrete containing cork powder (8%) and different steel fibres proportions (20 to 40 kg/m³). The results indicated that, in spite of the positive effect of the fibres, the cork powder concrete reinforced with steel fibers did not possess enough compressive strength for structural purposes. However, other properties of the result material, like energy absorption and impact behavior seem interesting in terms of certain non-structural applications such as livestock pavements and a surface finish that is less damaging to farm animals.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, due to environmental impact caused by construction and industrial activity, the reuse and recycling of agricultural and industrial residues is offered as one of the best alternatives for reducing environmental pollution. The accumulation of some waste products has motivated the search for new applications of these residues in the field of construction. Thus, it can be cited as various original investigations that aim to find a means of recycling concrete from demolitions which act as substitute for aggregates in mixing new concrete (di Maio and Traversa, 2003) or the use of sewage sludge from purification plants in the production of prefabricated concrete paving slabs (Yagüe et al., 2003). In this way, Juan et al. (2010) and Guerra et al. (2009) reported about their works of eco-efficient concretes carried out reusing many kinds of waste products. In the industry of cork in Extremadura, Spain, cork powder is a waste material and a problem for the industry, which does not know what to do with it except to burn it or send it to landfill (McIlveen-Wright et al., 2000). An estimated 20 to 30% of the raw cork received at the processing unit is rejected, mainly as cork dust, which has low granulometry and of no interest to the industry (Carvalho, 1996).

Cork is a vegetable material made of grouped dead cells (40 million/cm³), with a unique form, structure and behaviour, giving some characteristics which are of great interest in the production of certain construction materials. Particularly notable is its exceptional elasticity, its ability to provide thermal and acoustic insulation, its durability and lastly, the fact that it is a natural product, from the cork oak (Quercus suber L.), makes it a renewable resource and a sustainable building material option. It is a material with a very low density, between 0.10 and 0.20 kg/dm³. It might support considerable pressure, over 90 MPa, and has a property of recovering its original sizes when the pressure disappears. These characteristics make cork a product suitable for use in a wide spectrum of applications (Karade et al., 2001). But it also possesses other important characteristics. It is odorless, and it can be considered imputrescible and unalterable, thus retaining its efficiency for a very long
time (Branco et al., 2007). A review of the scientific literature suggests that a quite important deal of research has been done on the use of cork in building materials, although the use of natural cellular materials in industrial applications is still uncommon and deserves to be further investigated.

Researchers have investigated the use of cork in composites such as plaster/cork developed by Hernández-Olivares et al. (1999); cork/beverage carton wastes composite, hydroxypropylcellulose/cork composites and cork/charcoal board composite (Gil, 2009). The initial motivation for including cork in concrete was to develop lightweight concrete (Aziz et al., 1979). Soon thereafter, cork was added to concrete in order to obtain or modify certain properties such as changes in the density of the final concrete, increasing its lightness (Irle et al., 2004; Novoa et al., 2004; Gonzalez et al., 2007) or adding insulation capacity (Pereira et al., 2004).

Aziz et al. (1979) developed a mix of concrete incorporating cork and concluded that the values obtained for tensile and compressive strength are high when compared with lightweight concrete produced with other organic aggregates. Zbigniew et al. (2005) tested lightweight concrete samples with various percentages of cork but maintained a constant water/cement ratio. They analyzed their properties such as the specific weight and water absorption capability, and determined the grain size dispersion curves. Karade et al. (2006) concluded that cork granules and cement are compatible; that this compatibility decreases as the proportion of cork increases and that coarser grain sizes are more compatible with cement. Branco et al. (2007) analyzed the influence of the incorporation of cork granules, both natural and expanded, in the compressive strength of the material. The results confirmed that the concrete loses strength with the increase of the volume fraction of incorporated cork and that the use of expanded cork leads to the most significant losses. González et al. (2007) evaluated the properties of concrete made with small additions of cork powder produced by the timber industry.

Recently, some papers studied the behavior of cork when subjected to impact and the use of this material in energy absorption systems. Experimental and numerical studies on the dynamic behavior of different types of cork (Gameiro and Cirne, 2007; Gameiro et al., 2007 and 2011) when requested in compression at different strain rates showed that the confinement of cork leads to a significant increase in energy absorption capacity of the structure. Silva (2008) studied the improvement of the seismic response of structural walls using a composite matrix of mortar and dispersed cork elements. Paulino and Teixeira-Dias (2011) explored the ability of cork to act as a material for absorbing impact energy within automotive passive safety and crashworthiness applications.

The above referenced works evidenced the versatility and countless possible applications for cork when used as construction material. In the field of agricultural engineering, farm building floors have been designed using mass concrete for some time now, despite the problems that this represents for certain livestock. The work carried out by de Belie and Rombaut (2003) in this field gives away that the floor’s surface finish greatly affects the pressure produced between floor and hoof, and that the use of a softer yet rougher material than concrete would alleviate the damage caused to cows’ hooves. So considering the documented cork properties in terms of energy absorption and impact behavior, this paper aims to evaluate the mechanical properties of concrete incorporating cork powder as a feasible alternative for certain kinds of structures used in livestock raising as a material with a finish surface less damaging to animals.

Following Sousa’s recommendation (Sousa et al., 2003) on the advisability of undertaking appropriate studies of concrete incorporating additives made from recycled materials, this article discusses the results obtained from tests of different concrete mixes made with a variable addition of cork powder and steel fibres, in order to characterize this type of material.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The different concrete mixes were made with Portland cement CEM I 52.5 R and siliceous aggregates with a maximum size of 20mm. Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2 show the granulometric values and curves of sand and gravel. Specific gravity and humidity were 2.52·10^{-3} kg/m^3, 2.56·10^{-3} kg/m^3 and 1.12%, 0.12% for the sand and the gravel respectively, according to the standard EN 1097-6.

Following the standards EN 1097-2 and UNE 83115-89, the gravel presented a Los Angeles test coefficient of 42, and the sand had a friability coefficient of 11; both values are within the recommendation of the Spanish Standard for Structural Concrete (EHE-08).

The added cork came from the powdered waste generated in the cork industry and presented the grain size distribution shown in Table 1 and Figure 3.

Following the recommendations of the manufacturer’s company and due to the on site addition of the fibres, their slenderness is limited to 50. Therefore, the selected wavy fibers had the following dimensions: diameter of 1 mm, 45 mm long, wavelength of 8 mm and amplitude of wave of 0.65 mm (Figure 4). The fibers used were made from low-carbon steel wire and presented a high yield strength, which varies between 800-1500 MPa.

Several kinds of concretes containing cork powder and different steel fibres proportions were evaluated. Cork and steel fibres are not a substitute for the natural aggregates, but an additional component which is incorporated to the reference or control concrete (CC). Following the recommendations of previous work (González et al., 2007), an eight per cent addition of cork powder was established. Furthermore, in order to obtain better resistant properties of the final product, different quantities of steel fibres (20, 30 and 40 kg of steel fibres per m^3 of concrete) were added.

The design of the control concrete mix was calculated using the method of De la Peña (Arredondo, 1968) and the recommendations made by Jiménez Montoya et al. (2010). Due to its non structural use, a concrete with a characteristic resistance of 20 N/mm^2 and a
Table 1. Aggregates grading results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesh size (mm)</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Gravel</th>
<th>Powder cork</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>56.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>99.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>59.27</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>73.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>95.31</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>35.73</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.37</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Sand grading curve.

Figure 2. Gravel grading curve.
The great capacity of cork powder to absorb water, the theoretical dosage of water was increased (González et al., 2007) in all specimens made with cork up to 590 l/m³ instead of the 190 l/m³ required. The extra water enabled the cork powder concrete production as it would have been impossible to mix otherwise and did not increase the water/cement ratio of the concrete since it is immobilized within the cork. Although a pretreatment of the dust cork could have been taken, the method aforementioned was preferred, due to its simplicity and the possibility of its contrast with that of González et al. (2007). Table 2 summarizes the final components of each type of concrete tested.

The concrete was carried out using a 250 l capacity mechanical concrete mixer and following the next steps:

1) Mixing of part of the fine and coarse aggregate with cork powder, for 10 min.
2) Wetting of the walls, blades and bottom of the concrete mixer.
3) Adding of half the water, the fibres and the cement in the mixer and mixing for about five minutes until an even consistency was reached.
4) Slowly adding of the aggregate/cork mixture and the remaining water and mixing for about ten minutes approximately.

After that, a sample was taken to measure consistency using Abrams’s cone, according to EN 12350-2.

The freshly-mixed concrete was used to fill 8 cylindrical specimens (150x300 mm) and 6 prismatic specimens (100x100x400 mm) of each type of concrete following the standards EN 12390-1 and EN 12390-2.

Cork powdered concrete presents a very slow curing time due to the high amount of water present in the mix. So, if the curing of the specimens takes place naturally, as in this case, it is necessary to wait at least for 42 days before carrying out any test. However, this paper tested on both ages, 28 days -as established by EHE (Spanish standard for structural concrete) and 42 days.

Cylindrical specimens were used to carry out compression tests following EN 12390-3 and indirect traction tests (also known as the Brazilian test) and EN 12390-6.

In order to have a complete knowledge of the mechanical behavior of this type of concrete, prismatic specimens were used to carry out tensile strength test following EN 12390-5 (Figure 5 shows the device used and Figure 6 displays the specimens after the test).
Table 2. Concrete final components (kg/m³).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>C20</th>
<th>C30</th>
<th>C40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total water</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required water</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra water</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder cork</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel fiber</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrams cone (cm)</td>
<td>Plastic</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CC: Control concrete. C20/30/40: Concrete with 20/30/40 kg/m³ of steel fibers.

Figure 5. Sketch of the tensile strength device.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the slump test results measured trough the Abram’s cone. The great cork’s water absorption led all samples -except control concrete- to display a dry consistency, which could hinder the concrete workability.

The characteristic compression resistance \( (f_{ck}) \) was worked out from the mean resistance \( (f_{cm}) \) obtained from the 28 and 42 days old specimens tests based on Equation 1:

\[
f_{ck} = f_{cm} (1 - 1.64\delta)
\]

where \( \delta \) represents the variation coefficient of the samples.

The results obtained in the compressive strength tests are given in Figure 7. As previously seen, the older specimens -42 days- achieve better results, although the ultimate strength of the resultant concrete is very low (12 to 20 times lower than control concrete), as the best results of compressive strength were obtained in samples made with addition of 8% cork powder, 40 kg/m³ of steel fibers: \( f_{ck} = 2.6 \text{ MPa} \). The data show that steel fibres have significantly improved the ultimate strength, and that the more fibres were added the better results were achieved; up to 85% of improvement respects the cork powder concrete without reinforcement. Nevertheless, these results rule out any possibility of using this type of concrete as a structural material, although that was not the objective in the first place.

The tensile splitting test was worked out from the break load \( (P) \) following Equation 2:
Figure 6. Tensile strength test.

Figure 7. Compressive strength results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compressive strength ($f_c$) - 28 days old (MPa)</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CC+8%pc(*)</th>
<th>CC+8%pc+20kg/m³ SF</th>
<th>CC+8%pc +30kg/m³ SF</th>
<th>CC+8%pc +40kg/m³ SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength ($f_c$) - 42 days old (MPa)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each sample consisted of 3 cylindrical test specimens (150x300 mm)
CC= control concrete; pc= percentage of powder cork respect to dry aggregates; SF= steel fibres
(*) Data from González et al., 2007.
Figure 8. Brazilian test results.

\[
f_{ct} = \frac{2P}{\pi d L}
\]

(2)

Where \( L \) expresses the longitude of the specimen (mm) and \( d \) represents its diameter (mm). The applied load (\( P \)) is given in kN and the tensile strength (\( f_{ct} \)) in MPa.

The Brazilian tests were performed at 28 days for control concrete and 42 days for the samples of cork powder concrete reinforced with steel fibers. The results (Figure 8) show that cork dust means a significant reduction in the concrete indirect tensile strength (cork powder concrete exhibits a 5 to 10 times lower indirect strength than control concrete) and that steel fibers only seem to improve significantly, approximately by 30%, starting from an addition of 30 kg/m\(^3\).

The values of tensile strength (\( f_{ct} \)) were calculated according to Equation 3:

\[
f_{ct} = \frac{F \times l}{d_1 \times d_2^2}
\]

(3)

Where \( f_{ct} \) is the tensile strength (MPa), \( F \) expresses maximum load (N), \( l \) represents distance between the roller supports (mm) and \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are the lateral dimensions of the specimens.

The results (Figure 9) indicate that cork dust means a significant reduction in the concrete tensile strength (cork powder concrete exhibits a 4 to 6 times lower indirect strength than control concrete) and that the steel fibers only seem to improve significantly, approximately by 30%, starting from an addition of 30 kg/m\(^3\).

Recent literature reports have revealed a general consensus that incorporating cork in concrete reduces its mechanical properties (Silva et al., 2005; Branco et al., 2007; Simoes et al., 2007). Considering the results of this work, it is evident that the use of cork in the manufacturing of concrete means an almost total loss of concrete characteristic resistance, despite any improvement provided by the steel fibers. However, before dismissing the possible use of cork dust in concrete, further research would be advisable, especially in terms of cork’s water absorption through the possibility of some kind of pretreatments or use of super plasticizers that could entail an improvement of the results presented in this paper.

Conclusion

Cork powder concrete, even containing steel fibers at rates up to 40 kg/m\(^3\) is not suitable for structural use.

1) In general, concrete containing cork will have a dry consistency if no treatment is carried out.
2) To achieve a good mixing, it is necessary to mix slowly dry cork dust and aggregates and then add water and
Each sample consisted of 3 prismatic test specimens (100x100x400 mm).

CC = control concrete; pc = percentage of powder cork respect to dry aggregates; SF = steel fibres

(*) Data from González et al., 2007.

Figure 9. Tensile strength test results.
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