Micro surface texturing formation in polycrystalline-silicon solar cells by means of CO$_2$ laser
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Surface texturing of polycrystalline silicon solar cells using a CO$_2$ laser was achieved in the present study, with different line spacing and sizes in one dimension. The efficiency of the solar cell was calculated using the measurements of the short circuit current ($I_{SC}$), the open circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$), and the fill factor (FF). The SEM results showed the formation of micro-scale textures. Operational results showed that just 1.23% of the total surface area of the solar cell needs to be textured with a 0.5 mm line spacing in one dimension to enhance the efficiency of the solar cell up to 100%, while only 0.9% needs texturing with a 0.25 mm line spacing in one dimension.
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INTRODUCTION

Laser beam can be accurately and easily adjusted to achieve a desired response by delivering large amounts of energy into specific regions. Laser texturing for opaque materials is achieved by laser light interaction with the substrate surfaces, which leads to production of new crystal structure and modification of surface chemistry without harming the treated materials. By controlling the laser's spatial intensity profile, the energy can be delivered to a desired area on a material's surface, allowing for the modification of surface properties over multiple length scales and enhancing the material's performance for a specific purpose. The surface cosmetic and absorption process can also be controlled by changing the surface texture and chemical impurities in the surface (Brown and Arnold, 2010).

Some researchers have reported that the textured Silicon surface absorbs incident light more efficiently than the non-textured surface. Additionally, experimental evidence of the effect of nanostructure formation in Silicon photovoltaic cells on the spectral response has been reported (Taleb et al., 2011; Kais, 2011; Marouf et al., 2014). Lasers can induce various changes when exposed to materials; such as increasing hardness (Awadala et al., 2020a, b), inducing photoemission (Marouf and Khairallah, 2019), killing bacteria (Arma et al., 2018; Marouf et al., 2018), combusting wastes (Awad et al., 2023, 2020; Mustafa et al., 2023; Gawbah et al., 2018), preserving food (Mudawi and Marouf, 2022a, b), altering the properties of blood (Haimid et al., 2019a, b), and enhancing the performance of electrochemical cells (Ahmed et al., 2022). The paper presents results on the development of surface texturing of silicon solar cells.
by means of laser processing and investigation of the effects of different line spacing and texturing areas on the operational properties of the photovoltaic cells in order to enhance the absorption efficiency and the fill factor.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample preparation

The solar cells used in this work were assembled by the National Energy Research Center in Soba, Khartoum, Sudan. The sample size of the polycrystalline silicon used to fabricate the solar cells was 15.6 by 7.8 cm² (half cut). The texturing of the solar cells was performed using a CO₂ laser CNC machine manufactured by RISE Company in China. This machine had an output power of 150 W and 10.6 μm wavelength with a continuous mode. The laser beam was delivered to the working area through reflection silvered mirrors and lenses made from quartz with a focal length of 20 mm to focus the laser spot size. The parameters of laser treatment were adjusted and assumed to take the following values: Output power (P = 30 W), pulse duration = 200 μs, frequency (υ) = 5 kHz, texturing speed = 100 mm/s, and two textured area sizes (1 cm × 1 cm, 2 cm × 2 cm) with different line spacings (0.5 mm and 0.25 mm).

Measurements

SEM images

The SEM was used to study surface texture for the photovoltaic solar cells.

IV characteristics curve

The setup used to study the IV characterization curve of the modified solar cells is shown in Figure 1. The distance (D) from the lamp to the solar cell was kept constant at 40 cm during the measurement. The solar cell without laser texturing was used first and its voltage and current were measured using a rheostat. The fill factor and efficiency of the solar cell were then calculated. The same procedure was repeated for all solar cells. The effects of texturing parameters on the solar cell were studied and compared to the results of the IV characteristics curve of the solar cell without texturing and with texturing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SEM

The SEM results showed the formation of small-scale textured ripples in the micrometer range, which were created using laser energy that was absorbed near the surface and modified the morphology without altering the bulk structure Figure 2.

I -V characteristics

Table 1 shows the operational properties for the five cells before and after laser surface texturing. Table 2 shows the percentage increase of fill factor and efficiency. The percentage of the textured area 1 × 1 cm² and 2 × 2 cm²...
Table 1. Operational properties for the five cells before and after laser surface texturing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of solar cell</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (mV)</th>
<th>$I_{sc}$ (mA)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (mV)</th>
<th>$I_{max}$ (mA)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Un textured cell</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textured solar cell with 1×1 cm$^2$ laser surface texturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.5 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.25 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>14.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textured solar cell with 2×2 cm$^2$ laser surface texturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.5 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.25 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors 2023

Table 2. Percentage Increase of fill factor and efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of solar cell</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (%)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Textured solar cell with 0.0082% laser surface texturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.5 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.25 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textured solar cell with 0.032% laser surface texturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.5 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing 0.25 mm between grooves one dimension</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors 2023

Figure 2. SEM image for one dimensional of the textured sample; (a) with magnification 50 μm, (b) with magnification 200 μm.
Source: Authors 2023
were calculated to be $= 0.0082$ and $0.032\%$ respectively. Figure 3 depicted the values of the efficiency and fill factor versus the textured area in one dimension with 0.25 mm line spacing. Figures 4 depicted the increase in the efficiency and fill factor versus the percentages textured area in one dimension with 0.25 mm line spacing. The obtained results showed that the texturing of 0.0082% from the total surface area of the solar cell by 0.25 mm line spacing in one-dimension results in an increase of 0.97% in its efficiency and 4.9 in its fill factor. While, the texturing of 0.032% from the total surface area of the solar cell by 0.25 mm line spacing in one-dimension results in an increase of 3.6% in its efficiency and 8.9 in its fill factor. Based on these results, it’s expected that to enhance the efficiency up to 100% needs to texture just about 0.9% from the total surface area of the solar cell by 0.25 mm line spacing in one dimension.

Figure 5 depicted the values of the efficiency and fill factor versus the textured area in one dimension with 0.5 mm line spacing. Figures 6 depicted the increasing in the efficiency and fill factor versus the percentages textured area in one dimension with 0.5 mm line spacing. The obtained results showed that the texturing of 0.0082% from the total surface area of the solar cell by 0.5 mm line spacing in one-dimension results in an increase of 2.6% in its efficiency and 8.3 in its fill factor. Based on these results, it’s expected that to enhance the efficiency up to 100% needs to texture just about 1.23% from the total surface area of the solar cell by 0.5 mm line spacing in one dimension.

Figure 7 depicted the values of the efficiency and fill factor versus the line spacing in one dimension with $1 \times 1$ cm$^2$ area or $0.0082\%$ from the total surface area of the solar cell. Figures 8 depicted the increasing in the efficiency and fill factor versus the line spacing in one dimension with $2 \times 2$ cm$^2$ area or $0.032\%$ from the total surface area of the solar cell. The obtained results showed that decreasing in the texturing line spacing increases the efficiency and the fill factor of the solar cell.

Laser texturing increases light absorption from modified surface, so it enhances the efficiency and the fill factor of solar cell.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, it was found that the use of laser texturing with a CO$_2$ laser is an effective method to increase the efficiency and fill factor of a solar cell by enhancing the absorption of light through the creation of micro-surfaces.
Figure 4. Eff and FF Vs the percentage textured area in one dimension with 0.25 mm line spacing.
Source: Authors 2023

Figure 5. Eff and FF Vs the textured area in one dimension with 0.5 mm line spacing.
Source: Authors 2023
Figure 6. Eff and FF Vs the percentage textured area in one dimension with 0.5 mm line spacing. Source: Authors 2023

Figure 7. Eff and FF Vs the textured spacing in one dimension with $1 \times 1$ cm$^2$ area. Source: Authors 2023
Figure 8. Eff and FF Vs the textured spacing in one dimension with 2 × 2 cm² area. Source: Authors 2023

The results showed that solar cell efficiency increased as the textured area and number of grooves increased, providing the possibility of precise surface modification in less time than other conventional methods of texturing techniques.
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