

Full Length Research Paper

A note on absolute summability factor theorem and almost increasing sequences

Ekrem Savaş

Department of Mathematics, İstanbul Ticaret University, Üsküdar-İstanbul/ Turkey.

Accepted 4 October, 2011

Quite recently Savas (2008) has proved a theorem on $|A|_k$ - summability factors of an infinite series. The present paper deals with a further generalization of it.

Key words: Absolute summability, almost increasing, weighted mean matrix, summability factor.

INTRODUCTION

Savas (2008) obtained sufficient conditions for $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ to be summable $|A|_k, k \geq 1$. In this paper a theorem on $|A, \delta|_k$ -summability methods has been proved. A sequence (b_n) of positive numbers is said to be δ -quasi-monotone, if $b_n > 0$ ultimately and $\Delta b_n \geq -\delta_n$, where (δ_n) is a sequence of positive numbers (Savas, 2008). Let A be a lower triangular matrix, $\{s_n\}$ a sequence. Then,

$$A_n := \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v.$$

A series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A|_k, k \geq 1$ if;

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty, \tag{1}$$

and it is said to be summable $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$ if

(Flett, 1957):

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40F05, 40D25

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \tag{2}$$

We may associate with A, two lower triangular matrices \bar{A} and \hat{A} defined as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{n,v} := \sum_{r=v}^n a_{nr}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{and}$$

$$\hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

A triangle is a lower triangular matrix with all non-zero main diagonal entries. A positive sequence $\{d_n\}$ is said to be almost increasing if there exist a positive increasing sequence $\{c_n\}$ and two positive constants A and B such

that $Ac_n \leq d_n \leq Bc_n$ for each n .

RESULTS

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let A be a lower triangular matrix with non-negative entries satisfying:

- i) $\bar{a}_{n0} = 1$,
- ii) $a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}$ for $n \geq v+1$
- iii) $na_{nn} = O(1)$,
- iv) $\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| = O(v^{\delta k} a_{vv})$
- v) $\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{nv+1}| = O(v^{\delta k})$

If $\{X_n\}$ is an almost increasing sequence such that, $|\Delta X_n| = O\left(\frac{X_n}{n}\right)$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that there exists a sequence of numbers (A_n) such that it is δ -quasi monotone with $\sum nX_n \delta_n < \infty$, $\sum A_n X_n$ is convergent and $|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq |A_n|$ for all n . If;

- vi) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} < \infty$
- vii) $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m)$, where $t_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n ka_k$,

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|A, \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. Under the conditions of the theorem (Savas, 2008), we have that:

(1) $|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1)$.

Lemma 2. Let $\{X_n\}$ is an almost increasing sequence

such that $|\Delta X_n| = O\left(\frac{X_n}{n}\right)$ (Savas, 2008). If (A_n) is δ -quasi monotone with $\sum nX_n \delta_n < \infty$, $\sum A_n X_n$ is convergent, then,

- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nX_n |\Delta A_n| < \infty$, and
- (3) $nA_n X_n = O(1)$.

Proof:

Let $\{y_n\}$ be the n th term of the A -transform of $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i a_i$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &:= \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} s_i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \sum_{v=0}^i \lambda_v a_v \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^n \lambda_v a_v \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni} = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} \lambda_v a_v \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_n := y_n - y_{n-1} = \sum_{v=0}^n (\bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}) \lambda_v a_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v a_v \quad (3)$$

We may write:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) v a_v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) \left[\sum_{r=1}^v r a_r - \sum_{r=1}^{v-1} r a_r \right] \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}) \lambda_v \frac{v+1}{v} t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (\Delta \lambda_v) \frac{v+1}{v} t_v \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \frac{1}{v} t_v + \frac{(n+1) a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n}{n} \\ &= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3} + T_{n4}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient, by Minkowski's inequality, to show that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{nr}|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n1}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v \frac{v+1}{v} t_v \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v| |t_v| \right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From condition (1) of Lemma 1, $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded, and (v);

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |t_v|^k \right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} |\lambda_v| a_{vv} |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| \left[\sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} - \sum_{r=1}^{v-1} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta (|\lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v |t_r|^k r^{\delta k-1} + |\lambda_m| \sum_{r=1}^m |t_r|^k r^{\delta k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |A_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\ &= O(1), \end{aligned}$$

Again, using the hypothesis of the theorem and Lemma 1. Using Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n2}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (\Delta \lambda_v) \frac{v+1}{v} t_v \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \left| \frac{v+1}{v} t_v \right| \right]^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v| \right]^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \right]^k \times \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \right]^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (Rhoades and Savas, 2006):

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \leq Ma_{nn}.$$

Using (iii);

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore;

$$I_2 := O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} |\Delta \lambda| |t_v|^k$$

We may write:

$$I_2 := O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v}.$$

Using summation by parts and (vii);

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta (v |\Delta \lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{1}{r} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{1}{r} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta (v |\Delta \lambda_v|) X_v + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| X_m. \end{aligned}$$

Using (vii), the properties of Lemma 1, and the fact that $\{X_m\}$ is almost increasing:

$$I_2 := O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |\Delta A_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |A_{v+1}| X_{v+1} + O(1)m |A_m| X_m = O(1).$$

Using the hypothesis of the theorem 1, Hölder's inequality, summation by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n3}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k + k - 1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \lambda_{v+1} \frac{1}{v} t_v \right]^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k + k - 1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |t_v| \right]^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k + k - 1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \right]^k \times \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \right]^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \right]^k \times \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \right]^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} v^{\delta k} |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} (|\Delta \lambda_{v+1}|) X_v + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} (|A_{v+1}|) X_v + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_m \\ &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (iii) and the hypothesis of the Theorem 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n4}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k + k - 1} \left| \frac{(n+1)a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n}{n} \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k + k - 1} |a_{nn}|^k |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} a_{nn} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\ &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of I_1 . Setting $\delta = 0$ in the theorem yields the following Corollary.

Corollary 1

Let A be a triangle satisfying conditions (i) to (iii) of Theorem 1 and if $\{X_n\}$ is an almost increasing sequence such that, $|\Delta X_n| = O\left(\frac{X_n}{n}\right)$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Savas, 2008). Suppose that there exists a sequence of numbers $\{A_n\}$ such that, it is δ -quasi monotone with $\sum nX_n \delta_n < \infty$, $\sum A_n X_n$ is convergent and $|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq |A_n|$ for all n. If:

- (iv) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} < \infty$, and
- (v) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k = O(X_m)$, where $t_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n ka_k$,

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|A_k|, k \geq 1$.

Corollary 2

Let $\{P_n\}$ be a positive sequence such that:

$$P_n := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ and satisfies:}$$

- (i) $np_n = O(P_n)$
- (ii) $\sum n^{\delta k} \left| \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \right| = O\left(\frac{v^{\delta k}}{P_v}\right)$

If $\{X_n\}$ is an almost increasing sequence such that $|\Delta X_n| = O\left(\frac{X_n}{n}\right)$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that there exists a sequence of numbers $\{A_n\}$ such that it is δ -quasi monotone with $\sum nX_n \delta_n < \infty$, $\sum A_n X_n$ is convergent and $|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq |A_n|$ for all n. If;

- (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} < \infty$, and (iv) $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k - 1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m)$,

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

Proof

Conditions (iii) and (iv) of Corollary 2 are, respectively, conditions (vi) and (vii) of Theorem 1. Conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are automatically satisfied for any weighted mean method. Condition (iii) of Theorem 1 become condition (i) of Corollary 2 and conditions (iv) and (v) of Theorem 1 becomes condition (ii) of Corollary 2.

CONCLUSION

Let $\sum a_v$ denote a series with partial sums s_n . For an infinite matrix A, the n th term of the A-transform of $\{s_n\}$ is denoted by:

$$t_n = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} t_{nv} s_v.$$

Recently, Savas (2008), obtained an absolute summability factor theorem for lower triangular matrices. A summability factor theorem for summability $|A, \delta|_k$ as defined in (Flett, 1957) has not been studied so far. The present paper is filled up a gap in the existing literature.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wish to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and for their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Boas (Jr.) RP (1965). Quasi-positive sequence and trigonometric series, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 14(A): 38-46.
- Flett TM (1957). On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. Proc. London Math. Soc. 7:113-141.
- Rhoades BE, Savas E (2006). On $|A|_k$ -summability factors. Acta Math. Hung. 112(1-2):15-23.
- Savas E (2005). On a recent result on absolute summability factors. Appl. Math. Lett. 18:1273-1280.
- Savas E (2008). A summability factor theorem for absolute summability involving δ -quasi-monotone and almost increasing sequences. Math. Comput. Model. 48:1750-1754.